

Transcript of ANC 3B Meeting of September 10, 2026

Edited for Clarity and Readability

Timestamp	Agenda Items
0:00:00	Call to Order
0:00:00	Approval of Agenda
0:01:00	Police Report
0:11:20	Old Business
0:11:20	• Status of Medical Marijuana Retail License Transfer Application for Kahama West LLC at 2208 Wisconsin Avenue
0:22:40	• Resurfacing of Guy Mason Recreation Center Playground
0:22:40	Updates and Information
0:22:40	• Re-dedication of the 9/11 Bench at Guy Mason Recreation Center at 5 pm on 9/11
0:26:35	• Art All Night in Glover Park on Friday, September 11th from 6 pm-11 pm
0:31:21	New Business
0:31:21	• Discussion with DC Councilmember Christina Henderson (At-Large)
0:59:57	• Update from DC Water on the Glover Archbold Project
1:25:55	• Update on the Restoration of the Stoddert Field Following Completion of Construction Projects at Stoddert Elementary School
1:39:00	Open Forum
1:39:00	• Ward 3 Councilmember Frumin's Office
1:42:42	Administrative Matters

Call to Order [0:00:00]

Approval of Agenda [0:00:00]

Turmail: All right. Hello, everyone. It's Brian Turmail, the commissioner for ANC 3B02. If you're here for the ANC 3B meeting, you're in the right spot. If you somehow wandered in here erroneously, feel free to stay, and we'd love to hear from you. We'll give it a minute or two for folks to log in and then we'll get started. Hang tight. And if you want to follow along at home on the agenda, you can always go to anc3b.org, and there's a link right on the homepage to the agenda for tonight's meeting. With that, I'll make-- To begin the meeting, we need to approve the agenda. I'll make a motion that we approve the agenda.

Lane: Second.

Turmail: All right, Melissa seconds. All in favor either raise your hand or say, "aye."

Commissioner: Aye.

Turmail: All right. I show five of the five commissioners all voting to approve the agenda.

Police Report [0:01:00]

Turmail: With that, we'll hand it over to the police report.

Lane: Lieutenant Johnson is here.

Turmail: All right, Lieutenant, you're on.

Johnson: Right. I'm trying to turn my camera on. Let me see if I can get it on over here. Well, I'll try to figure it out as we go. Hello, everyone. Can you hear me?

Turmail: We can hear you just great.

Johnson: You just can't see me.

Turmail: Tragedy, but we'll survive.

Pollock: We can't see you. You're hiding.

Johnson: This month-- First of all, I'm Lieutenant Johnson from the Second District PSA 204, which includes ANC 3B. And this month, we have 22 crimes to report. Compared to the same time last year, we had nine crimes, so it was up a couple crimes. But we had no part one offenses, which means no homicides, no sex abuses, no serious assaults with dangerous weapons. And also we had no arsons. What we did have was we had five thefts, 12 theft from autos, and five motor vehicle thefts this month to report. We did have a theft from the Chef Geoff's. Chef Geoff's is I guess a restaurant on New Mexico Avenue. We had a person, I guess, stepped away from their purse from the table for a few minutes, came back, and their wallet was stolen from their purse. So when you're out eating, please be careful and guard your valuables, and take your purse with you, or have someone watch it that you trust. Any questions about any crimes going on this month or anything that happened recently?

Johnson: Well, first a fun fact, Chef Geoff was my high school -- I went to one of these obnoxious boarding schools -- high school roommate my sophomore year. So sorry to hear about the theft. Could you tell us a little bit more about the five, I can't remember if you said-- What was the right term, robberies that we had?

Lane: Thefts.

Johnson: No, thefts.

Turmail: Sorry if I'm getting the term wrong.

Johnson: Theft from auto. Theft from autos.

Turmail: No, I thought you said 12 theft from autos and five something else.

Johnson: Motor vehicle thefts.

Turmail: Five motor vehicle thefts, and then--

Johnson: And 12 thefts. I'm sorry, 12 thefts.

Turmail: There was a number before 12 thefts. What was that?

Johnson: Five theft from autos.

Turmail: Five theft from autos. Okay. I heard that wrong.

Johnson: A theft from auto and a motor vehicle theft are different things.

Turmail: Yes. Motor vehicle theft is they stole the whole vehicle.

Johnson: Yep. And in this case--

Turmail: Were those cars, or does that include like mopeds or ebikes?

Johnson: These were cars, but three of these thefts, the car wasn't actually taken. They destroyed the ignition and the steering column, and they were classified as motor vehicle thefts, which I think is wrong. It should just be attempted theft or theft, and destruction of property. Probably shouldn't be theft of a stolen auto. Car didn't move. The car was still there, and they destroyed the ignition in an attempt, but they didn't take the car. So we've got to investigate that a little bit further.

Turmail: Okay. I'll open it up-- Oh, I'm sorry, Lieutenant. Go ahead.

Johnson: But one of the cars was actually stolen. It was running, and someone hopped in and stole the car, in one of the stolen auto cases, vehicle thefts.

Turmail: I'll open it up to questions from my fellow commissioners before opening it up to the community. Commissioner Lane?

Lane: Lieutenant Johnson, can you say a little bit more about the murder that happened in the early part of August at 2500 block of 41st Street?

Johnson: Oh, yes, ma'am. That's August 5th.

Lane: It was domestic.

Johnson: Yeah, that was-- Everyone was known. These people knew each other. They were inside of an apartment and they had an argument, and one of the-- And the suspect shot the victim with an AR-15. But the suspect remained on the scene and was placed under arrest on the scene. So that was more like a domestic-- I'm sorry?

Lane: Has the suspect been charged?

Johnson: Yes.

Lane: Okay.

Johnson: He's been held the whole time.

Turmail: Did you say the suspect shot him, the individual, with an AR-15?

Johnson: Yes.

Turmail: So, is that like a double crime? Because aren't you not supposed to have an AR-15 in the District of Columbia?

Johnson: Those charges were added on, too. Yes.

Turmail: Okay.

Johnson: But the main one is, of course, the homicide and murder.

Turmail: I'm not downplaying homicide. Absolutely.

Lane: So, he was charged with murder one or two?

Johnson: I believe murder one. Yeah.

Lane: Okay.

Turmail: Other questions, Commissioner?

Lane: I'm good.

Turmail: Commissioner Pollock? We're trying to see how long I can--

Pollock: Lieutenant, it's good seeing you again. I have a general question, because I read about an awful lot of shootings that happen in the District. And many of them, of course, are teenagers or young men. I want to get a sense about whether or not most of these guns are stolen guns, or whether they're made by 3D printers, or whether or not they actually legally obtain these guns. How do young people who are involved in armed events, how do they, in general, obtain these weapons?

Johnson: That'd be a question for the Chief's office. Most of these homicides or shootings don't happen in the Second District. Like the one that we had on 41st Street, that was the person owned that gun themselves. They were from Virginia and they owned that gun. It wasn't like it was stolen or made. It was purchased.

Lane: Yeah, that was legally purchased, correct?

Johnson: Yes.

Turmail: But not legally transported into the District.

Johnson: No, exactly. You can't have it here.

Turmail: Just to clarify for the rest of the viewers at home.

Johnson: Yeah. But it was obtained legally.

Turmail: Okay. Sorry. Go ahead, Commissioner Pollock. Do you have other questions?

Pollock: Well, I just want to sort of follow up, in general. I mean, not specifically about here in the third ward, but in general, with your experience and experience of other officers, are most of these weapons really stolen that are used in these shootings?

Johnson: Well, juveniles can't purchase a weapon. So, they have to obtain it illegally. They had to steal it from their parents, or steal it from a person, or steal it from a car. We have a lot of guns stolen from vehicles also in the District. So, if you're a juvenile and you've got a gun, you don't have it legally.

Turmail: Okay. There is also, I understand only because I've read articles in the past on this, Commissioner Pollock, that there is a somewhat of a pipeline of people making straw purchases of firearms in states with less restrictive firearms regulations, and then selling them illegally to residents of the District, or individuals who end up committing firearms crimes in the District of Columbia. So, I don't know if that counts as stolen or just illegally sold. I have not investigated this personally, and I don't know this to be true. I've just read those articles.

Johnson: But in general, if you're a juvenile with a gun, you're not supposed to have it at all. And if you have it, it's a crime. If you're an adult, and you know a juvenile has a weapon, then you're part of the problem, too.

Turmail: Other questions, Commissioner Pollock?

Pollock: No more. Thank you.

Turmail: You bet. Any other questions from commissioners? I'll open up to anyone from the community. Does anyone have a question for Lieutenant Johnson? Question, concern, something they wanted to raise with the lieutenant? You can either raise your hand using the control bar in the bottom, or if you just want to-- We'll run the risk of some level of chaos. If you just want to unmute and ask a question, feel free to as well.

Johnson: Now, we do have a community walk next week. I'll put it in the chat. I believe it's on Thursday. I'll put the date, time, and location in the chat. Anyone see the chat? Everyone see the chat?

Turmail: I can see the chat. I just didn't see you put anything in yet.

Lane: Yeah, the chat's open.

Turmail: Lieutenant Johnson, just for those who may not know what a community walk is, could

you give us like the elevator pitch on a community walk?

Johnson: Yes, sir. Community walk is when the police department, and we have other partners come out like DPW, someone from the Mayor's office usually shows things going on in the community. And things that we can't talk about on a regular basis. It's a good time. We walk for maybe about a mile, a mile and a half. Maybe two if it was a nice day. It lasts one hour. You can leave anytime you feel like it. You can walk a little ways and quit.

Turmail: You broke up a little bit, at least for me. I don't know if it's just my computer or others, but basically it's an opportunity to walk with the officers of MPD and some other individuals, and learn a little bit more about what's happening, and ask questions. Did I get that close to right? Again, I apologize. You broke up a little bit.

Johnson: I'm sorry. Yep. The Mayor's office is usually there, or CSOSA, which is Court Services. Sometimes DPW shows up. Other agencies also walk with us.

Turmail: Gotcha. Did the Assistant US Attorney's office ever show?

Johnson: Really haven't seen them at a walk. I would say no.

Turmail: Gotcha. Okay, great. Thank you. If there are no other questions, I don't see any hands up and I haven't heard any unmuted voices.

Speaker: Yes.

Turmail: Oh, go ahead.

Speaker: Oh, I'm sorry. I do not have a question. Thank you.

Turmail: Okay. All right. Fair enough. I had a brief moment I thought, "Oh my gosh, is that just a voice in my head?" But it wasn't at that moment. So, okay. Thank you, Lieutenant Johnson. I hope all of your officers stay safe. And if there's ever anything you need from us, obviously, let us know, please.

Johnson: All right. I'm typing in the chatroom.

Turmail: Always a pleasure.

Johnson: See you next month. Everybody be safe. Bye-bye.

Old Business [0:11:20]

Status of Medical Marijuana Retail License Transfer Application for Kahama West LLC at 2208 Wisconsin Avenue [0:11:20]

Turmail: We've got some old business we wanted to address before we open it up to some new business. The first bit of old business is just a quick update. Well, hopefully a quick update on the status of the medical marijuana retail license transfer application for Kahama West LLC. That would be for, how do I put this? Thank you, Lieutenant Johnson, by the way. There is an effort underway to transfer a medical cannabis license to two new owners from two existing owners of the license that, if successfully transferred, would allow the two new owners to establish a medical cannabis dispensary at 2208 Wisconsin Avenue, which I'm pretty sure is just north of Hall Place on the west side of Wisconsin Avenue. Pardon me?

Lane: It's just north of W Place.

Turmail: W. Sorry. Thank you. North, just north of W. And this ANC did agree to file a protest for the transfer application. Our concern was not in opposition to having a medical marijuana dispensary in Glover Park. It was based on the fact that the two individuals who currently hold the license and were attempting to transfer it have an unsuccessful history running an unsanctioned, or unauthorized, or illegal -- I'm not sure what the right adjective is -- dispensary in Georgetown that became such a nuisance for the community that the police had to raid the establishment several times. And I believe it was ultimately shut down. And that the transfer of the license was to one of the current license owner's brother and another individual. We had some concerns that this felt like a bit of a straw purchase, I think, is the phrase we used. And we were worried about a lack of experience in ownership. And we wanted to file a protest so that we could engage in a conversation about how to make sure that, if ABCA were to move -- the Alcohol Beverage and Cannabis Administration -- were to move forward in authorizing the license transfer, that the community had some protections. We filed our protest, which led then to an ABCA-hosted facilitated mediation session, which we actually had earlier today with the attorney representing the two applicants to receive the license. And had some conversations about putting in place an agreement with the applicants that would set specific limits on the hours the facility could operate, set some safeguards to ensure that, you know, access to the alley wasn't obstructed by vendor deliveries. The applicants also seek to run delivery service out of the operation, so we wanted to make sure that the delivery service wasn't being run out of the alley, and blocking access for all of the individuals who need to use that alley for either commercial or residential purposes. We also had some concerns about noise, about defining sort of best efforts to ensure that no one is consuming cannabis or alcohol within 100 feet of the premises. And then we also have asked, they have not yet agreed, but we have asked for the establishment to employ security, private security, or work with MPD to provide security at the establishment. And then we've also asked for guarantees that, given the lack of apparent experience from the applicants seeking the license, that they hire someone with a successful track record of running a medical marijuana dispensary, ideally in the District of Columbia. The

attorney is meeting with the clients, and we'll send the commission a revised settlement agreement, hopefully within the next two weeks. And the intention would be if that agreement is close to acceptable, we'd like to bring it up and potentially vote on it at our next meeting on the 8th of October. They have offered, and we have accepted, the two applicants, the individuals seeking the license have at least via their lawyer, have offered to attend our October 8th meeting and speak and answer questions from the community. So, I guess a long-winded way of saying more to come. We are engaged in conversations, and I just wanted to baseline that our opposition is not in opposition to having a medical marijuana dispensary. It's to make sure that we have the right safeguards in place so that that establishment is successful, and the community is protected, and it doesn't disrupt other business or quality of life in the community. I don't know if there are any questions about that one from either fellow commissioners or members of the community. Go ahead, Commissioner Pollock.

Pollock: Yes. I thought that the central concern, although there were lots of different practical issues which you've raised here, but I thought that one of the concerns was the overall integrity of the people who were filing for this particular license. Has that been addressed? Do we believe that these owners, in fact, do have integrity, and they are entitled to run a dispensary given their previous record?

Turmail: Well, ultimately that's ABCA's call. Just to be clear, our concerns were with the track record of the two individuals who currently own the license and are seeking to transfer it to two new owners.

Pollock: Correct.

Turmail: One of those owners happens to be the brother of one of the current owners. The other one is someone that we don't know much about. And again, she and the brother are willing to come October. We are attempting to address our concerns about the fitness of the potential new owners with our ask for them to provide security, and with our ask for them to provide a guarantee that they'll hire someone with proven successful experience in running an establishment like this. General sense is that we don't get to, we as an ANC don't get to decide who receives the license. That's ultimately the decision or the purview of ABCA. But given our concerns, we feel it's very appropriate to make those two specific asks. Go ahead, Commissioner Lane.

Lane: Just one clarification. We cannot base a protest on our belief that the owners who want the license are or are not capable of running the establishment. That is not one of the things that ABCA will address. So, that's why it's not part of the negotiation.

Turmail: Yeah, we raised concerns in our letter about making sure the business is well run and successful. And we have some concerns of whether or not it's an actual transfer of a license, or a straw transfer of a license.

Pollock: May I ask another question?

Turmail: Please.

Pollock: But have we raised directly with ABCA the concerns about this is a straw transaction, and that there are integrity issues that we're concerned about?

Turmail: Yes, a copy of our letter dated July 30 to ABCA I believe is available on our website.

Lane: I don't remember if I posted or not, but I will.

Turmail: We will make a copy of the letter available on our website. I did share it with all of the commissioners -- we get a lot of email -- but when it was drafted. And yes, to answer your question, Commissioner Pollock, we did raise both those issues.

Pollock: And has ABCA responded either informally or in some way with us about that?

Turmail: Their response was to facilitate a mediated conversation that we had earlier today.

Pollock: But they have not affirmed any concerns about the integrity of these people?

Turmail: That's correct. And, in fact, one of the things we talked about-- That's a good question, Commissioner. One of the things we talked about the meeting today is given the fact that we need to have additional conversations over the settlement agreement to make sure we're comfortable with whatever revised language we see, and their offer to speak at our October 8th meeting, we have to file some paperwork. But essentially ABCA has agreed to delay the hearing to decide to approve the transfer of the license until after we've conducted our business. So, it will happen after the October 8th meeting.

Pollock: Okay. Thank you.

Turmail: So, in other words, no, they haven't made a decision, and they're kind of waiting on us.

Pollock: Okay. Thank you.

Turmail: Commissioner Lane, what did I get wrong?

Lane: You got it right. There's a question in the chat. How would a marijuana dispensary with security guards enhance Glover Park's retail landscape? We don't have any control over that. It's whoever landlords have an agreement with. And Glover Park Main Street also does not have control over that.

Turmail: Yeah. We want to make sure that as part of the settlement agreement conversations, we've got safeguards in place to make sure that it's a well-run, retail establishment that doesn't have an impact on other businesses, hopefully negatively. I don't know if there's a better way to

put that. Brandi, I see you popped up. I didn't know if you had a comment or if you were just--

Brandi: Not really. Can you all hear me? Okay.

Turmail: Oh, yeah. We can hear you perfectly.

Brandi: Perfect. I just wanted to jump in and say we do know behind the scenes that there were conversations with other retailers for that space that didn't pan out for whatever myriad of reasons. So, we are unfortunately not brokers. We are not in the business of finding retailers their space, but if retailers or potential retailers come to us, we're always happy to talk with them about the available properties in Glover Park.

Turmail: I should mention that the attorney representing the applicant seeking the license did mention that they have not yet concluded the lease agreement, but expect to do so soon. All right. Any other questions? I didn't check the chat. Were there any others? Commissioner Lane?

Lane: No.

Turmail: Excellent. Okay. Well, we'll keep folks-- See if I can speak clearly today. We will keep everyone informed, and obviously we would suggest if this is something of interest to you, to please join us on the 8th of October where we expect to dedicate even more time to this issue.

Resurfacing of Guy Mason Recreation Center Playground [0:22:40]

Turmail: I'm not sure who's talking about the resurfacing of the Guy Mason Recreation Center playground, but I have a funny feeling it's Commissioner Lane.

Lane: Yeah, I can do that.

Turmail: Thank you.

Lane: This is just an update. You will have noticed that there's fencing around Guy Mason playground. And that's because the AstroTurf has been replaced with a spongy surface, and the sandbox that we requested be removed has been removed. So this work should be done by the end of September. And I've seen pictures of it, and it looks fantastic.

Turmail: I should, I forgot that we have an expert on the issue right with us. So, Christopher, I see that you've opened up, and I know you're here, I think, for another part of the agenda, but perhaps we could put you on the spot to talk about the Guy Mason resurfacing.

Dyer: Commissioner Lane had it exactly right. I don't-- Except I'm not sure that the end of September is the date, unless she has talked to someone at DPS and has a better prognosis.

Lane: Well, that's the last thing we heard from DPR.

Dyer: Yeah, Commissioner, in our email we said that it would be sometime in the fall. We didn't say end of September. I just say this, I caution this because we don't want to create unrealistic expectations. But it seems like it's going along swimmingly. But we're hoping to get it done by the fall so it can get back and open.

Turmail: For those of you planning-- Oh, I'm sorry, I interrupted for you. Forgive me.

Dyer: And thank you, guys, for your lovely resolution that was very helpful in guiding us in how we made decisions on that resurfacing.

Turmail: Happy to help. And I guess we'll note your caveat on the date and warn folks that if they're planning an early October birthday party at Guy Mason, maybe have a plan B ready. How about that?

Lane: Yeah, go inside.

Dyer: Yeah, we have some great space indoors, except on weekends, because it's not open on weekends. Anyway, commissioner, thank you. And Commissioners, I will now--

Turmail: We'll get you back in a second. Thank you for joining us, by the way. I appreciate it.

Dyer: Yep, I was so excited that you stole my thunder there, Commissioner. I appreciate it.

Turmail: Oh. All right. Well, excellent. Any questions about Guy Mason before we move on? From commissioners or the community? I really don't do well with silences. That felt like forever. I'm sure that was like three seconds, but if there are questions, put them in the chat. We'll get to you. I promise. Okay, next up, and I'm not sure-- Full disclosure, we told her office that we would be throwing the mic to her at 7:30, and it's 7:25, but next up, we do have DC Councilmember Christina Henderson, who is our at large, one of our at-large representatives. I don't know if she's joined us yet. Any moment now.

Lane: One of her staff people is here. Anna?

Turmail: Yes. She just put in the chat that the councilmember will be joining us any second now.

Lane: Okay.

Updates And Information [0:25:45]

Re-dedication of the 9/11 Bench at Guy Mason Recreation Center at 5 pm on 9/11 [025:45]

Turmail: Wondering if we can squeeze in-- I don't want to take one of the other new business items, but should we take a brief pause and just give a plug for Art All Night in Glover Park before we move back to Councilmember Henderson?

Lane: Sure. Yeah, we have two updates.

Turmail: Okay.

Lane: The first update is tomorrow, the 25th anniversary of 9/11, there will be a rededication of the 9/11 bench at Guy Mason Recreation Center at 5:00 p.m. There will be a bagpiper. There will be color guard. And this bench was donated by two families in England back in 2006 to represent the loss of life both of Americans and the British. So we've had the bench redone, and that will be tomorrow.

Art All Night in Glover Park on Friday, September 11th from 6 pm-11 pm [0:26:35]

Lane: And there's also Art All Night, and Brandi's here, and I'm sure she can give us an update on that.

Brandi: Thank you, Melissa. Thank you all for having me today. I tried to channel Art All Night with this bright orange shirt, but it doesn't translate as well over the screen. But so Art All Night in Glover Park is tomorrow, and it kicks off at CommuniKids for a free kids hour for Hispanic Heritage Month from 5:00 to 6:00 p.m., but then the event officially kicks off at the Plaza in front of 2233 Wisconsin Avenue Northwest. So that big open space by Einstein, Breadsoda, down the stairs. So, we'll have three bands there throughout the night. We'll have a Johnny Cash impersonator at Rocklands. We'll have some cool DJs peppered throughout the street. There's both wine stores are doing complimentary wine tastings. There'll be food and drink specials. So, we'd love for all of you to come out. We'll have a table also at the Plaza. So, come say, "Hi." We might have some little goodies to give away, too. But really, we're just excited to have all the neighbors come out. We want to encourage everyone to support the local businesses while they're out tomorrow. Make sure to patronize your favorite restaurants or try something new. And I also forgot that the speak easy under Whole Foods will be open from 7:00 to 11:00, so please come down. We have some jazz artists performing. So, it should be a really great night, and we're excited. DeVol Funeral Home is very generously hosting a comfort station. So, they'll also be having a 9/11 memorial in their chapel, but the bathrooms will be open. They'll be

providing water, a place to cool down. Thankfully, the weather's looking okay for tomorrow, so we're hoping it holds. But I think that's really it on our end. We're looking forward to a great night, and we think there's something for everyone. So, we hope you'll come out and enjoy it.

Lane: And don't forget the wing-eating contest.

Brandi: And don't forget the wing-eating contest at 9:00 p.m.

Turmail: Did some commissioner just announce their entry into the contest?

Brandi: Well, technically we're full, but if they show up, I think we can find room for them.

Turmail: No, no, no. No special privileges. No, no, no.

Lane: But our MOCRS representative, Owen Cox, who's here tonight, he's one of the entrants.

Brandi: Yes. Owen volunteered and I signed him up.

Turmail: All right, since we have a couple seconds. Owen, is it time to throw down your marker? Are you going to be winning this one?

Cox: Oh, absolutely. I'm gonna be number one. So, let's go out and see.

Turmail: There you go. You heard it here first.

Cox: I'll show you all how to eat wings.

Turmail: And we are not encouraging you to go to Kalshi and place a bet on this either.

Cox: Oh, that's a good idea.

Turmail: I did not-- No, no, no, no, no. Awesome. So, but anyway, Brandi, you want people to come out, you want people to have a great time, visit all the various establishments. I did walk in through the neighborhood earlier today to see lots of establishments say, "We're participating." So folks could kind of pop in, and pop out, and enjoy themselves? Is that the main message?

Brandi: Absolutely. All music is free. We'll be handing out physical maps. We shared the map on our Instagram page today as well. Participating businesses have flyers and posters. So, if you see a sign that says, "We're participating," there's probably something inside or outside for you to check out. So, just keep an eye peeled for those signs and you'll be good to go.

Turmail: Wonderful. And Commissioner Pollock, I think you had your hand up.

Pollock: Yeah. Brandi, do you have either a flyer or something that you can post here for

people to see? And also that I may be able to send to people in my district, the different buildings nearby, and just let them know that this is happening tomorrow.

Brandi: Yes, absolutely. I'm dropping in a PDF with our map with the activations. It's a little on the big side, so if you need something smaller, let me know.

Pollock: Thank you.

Brandi: Yes, of course. Thank you for sharing it.

Turmail: All right. Any questions for, well, if we could put you on the spot, for Brandi about Art All Night from other commissioners or the community? I know there's a lot of work that goes into organizing it. It's a hugely important event. I would just encourage everyone to come out and have a good time, and enjoy the nice weather.

Brandi: Absolutely. Especially this could be the last one. We don't know what will happen next year. So, make sure you come out and enjoy this one.

Turmail: We'll cover that. We'll stay happy. We'll stay optimistic about this one and we'll cover the WTF on Art All Night afterwards. What the--Anyway.

Brandi: Perfect. Thank you.

Turmail: You bet. All right. I don't know if we successfully--

Lane: Councilmember Henderson is here.

New Business [0:31:21]

Discussion with DC Councilmember Christina Henderson (At-Large) [0:31:21]

Turmail: All right. Well, Councilmember Henderson, welcome to ANC 3B, and the Glover Park and Cathedral Heights community. If I'm missing one, let me know. I was going to do like-- I did a little like, I went online and did a little research, and was going to do an intro. But first of all, I don't know how much we need to introduce you. You're one of our at-large councilmembers. An alumna of Furman, the first Black American student president, right? I was super impressed, and then depressed to find how much younger you are than I am.

Henderson: You really did do your research.

Turmail: Yes. So, anyway, thank you for joining us. Perhaps we could kind of hand the mic to

you and offer some comments. And then I know some of my fellow commissioners have questions. I have one. It's a softball, I promise. Some other questions, then we can open up for the community if that works for you?

Henderson: Sure, that sounds great.

Turmail: Great.

Henderson: Well, thank you so much, Brian, for having me, and to the commission for inviting me. For those who I haven't had a chance to meet, I'm one of the at-large councilmembers. I'm Christina Henderson. I chair the Committee on Health. This is my sixth year as a councilmember in DC. Although I feel like sometimes the at-large members were kind of treated, we're like the little redheaded stepchildren. It's wonderful. You have an excellent ward councilmember. And also, we are your councilmembers too. So thank you so much for having me, and for the opportunity to chat with you all. So I just will start with I actually thought that the summer was fairly quiet in comparison to last year. Knock on wood, we're not all the way done. But nonetheless. So the council comes back from recess officially next week. So during the summer, we weren't able to hold any hearings on bills or introduce any new legislation or move any new legislation, but that doesn't mean that we stopped working. The work definitely still continued on in preparation for everything that's going to take place this fall. For those of you who may not know, so the council operates on a two-year structure. So every council period is every two years. And, excuse me. This fall we are heading into the last portion of a two-year period, which essentially means that any piece of legislation that was introduced this council period that doesn't get over the finish line by the end of the calendar year will essentially die and have to start all over in January with a new term. With a new term, with new members, new committees, a variety of different things. And so we will be working feverishly to get some legislation over the finish line. Especially as I have a number of colleagues who will no longer be councilmembers in January. So, I've got a lot of folks who will probably be looking to do some legacy items or finish up some projects that they have been working on. We try our best to communicate with folks around like what's happening. I will just tell you, even from back when I was a staffer for the council, the months leading up to December, the ledge meetings are long, the markups are long, lots of bills that are moving, and sometimes it can be very complicated for folks to keep up with things. And so I do have a newsletter. I don't send out messages every week. We send it every other week. And I try to be as topical and informative as possible. So, if you haven't signed up for my newsletter, I hope that you would do so. But we have some things going on in the Committee on Health. So, our first hearing when we come back is September 24th. We'll hold a public hearing on three bills. One is on vaccine administration protection. So, this legislation would protect District healthcare providers who are trying to provide safe and effective vaccines to District residents, and the companies that employ them. You wouldn't think that this would be necessary, but given everything that's been happening on the federal government side of late in terms of a movement away from evidence-based action when it comes to immunizations, we have essentially been taking some steps to protect the District in various ways. Last year, for instance, well, I would say a few weeks ago, earlier this summer, the Trump administration announced that they wanted to make changes to the childhood

immunization schedule. You might notice that in DC, we've just essentially been moving on with the current schedule, and that is in part because we quietly did legislation last year to essentially divorce the DC standards from the federal rules, because we essentially saw what was coming. And so we wanted to make sure that our residents still had opportunity to get access to that. The two other bills on that hearing are with regard to student health. So, for any of you who have children in our school system or know of folks who have children in the school system, one of the big things that happens in sort of back to school is around these student health immunization forms. We are required to have these immunization forms. We're required to have this oral health form. Number one, families are getting charged for these forms by their providers. So you might not get charged for the Well-Child visit, but you're getting charged \$25 to \$50 for the form to be filled out. But two, the most craziest thing for me is that in 2026, we're still dealing with a paper form. We turn in a form that inevitably get lost three or four times, and then somebody has a job outside of their regular job to data entry all of this information in. Given the electronic health record system that we currently have rolled out in most of our providers, there should be a more electronic way for us to be able to do this that is efficient and safe. And so I wish that I didn't have to introduce legislation to do this, but sometimes the agencies need a bit more encouragement. So, that is the hearing that we have scheduled for there. We'll have some other hearings throughout the fall. Some of them are technical in nature. Like, I have one on mortgage modifications, which-- Well, unless you're in the housing space, you might be like, "That's a bit dry, Councilmember." It is, but we have to move it. And then we're also planning to move some bills that we have been talking about for a while. So, for those of you who might have, you know, you've been watching the news lately or even get my newsletter, I've been talking a lot about rodents. A lot of people don't realize that rodents actually falls under public health and DC health. And so we have some legislation that I introduced called the REMY Act, and then also a bill that Councilmember Lewis George introduced called RATS, that we're going to move through the process this fall. Oh, just a little bit about REMY. And, yes, it's named after that--

Turmail: Watch out for the Disney attorneys, but anyway.

Henderson: I know, from Ratatouille, they'll live. It's just an acronym, but nonetheless, this bill really focuses on rodent-resistant dumpsters and our commercial corridors with high rodent activity. So basically when we're talking about rodents, and I know this is very frustrating for some residents, it has to be a multi-prong approach. It can't just, we can't necessarily just focus on residential areas. We definitely have to focus on commercial areas. We have to focus on the fertility issues. We also big, big have to focus on access to food, which is truly how rats are staying alive and thriving in the District. And so in addition to the trash cans and commercial corridors, this fall, the Department of Public Works has been rolling out new trash cans in high-traffic corridors. You know, I love our, you know, the Victor Stanley models that we currently have are very aesthetically pleasing, and they're also a rat buffet. Because, if you know, things can get stuck in between the liners, rats can just climb on in and take a dive. People can illegally dump materials in there. So, we just need to do a redesign. We're not the only city that's been doing this. Boston recently changed over all of its cans. New York is in the process of changing over theirs as well. It's costly, but it is something that we definitely need to do in terms of

working on this particular issue. Another thing that I've been working on that is not necessarily related to health, but it is sort of an interest of mine, and it's something that has definitely been in the news today, so I want to mention it, which is with regard to Flock and DC's uses of Flock cameras in the District. I want folks to understand that this has actually been an issue I've been working on for a while. So, in December of last year, I sent a letter to MPD after reading some articles about some things that were happening in other jurisdictions about Flock. I met with the interim chief earlier this year, and to his credit, Chief Carroll took my concerns seriously, said he wanted to look more into it and did make some changes in terms of how MPD was participating in some of the Flock softwares and tools. I don't want to alarm folks. There are lots of different types of cameras that we have in the District. Not all of them are Flock. In fact, there are only 67 Flock cameras in our fleet, if you will. But we do have CCTV. We have automated traffic enforcement cameras. We have a variety of different things. And really, what we're trying to understand is, one-- Well, there have been new policies and protocols that have been rolled out in terms of the access of the Flock cameras for MPD. They are now doing audits of the logs. And also, DC is no longer participating in the national lookup search tool, so other jurisdictions cannot access our data without request. So there are definitely some changes that are happening there. There was some news today from the police union which caught I think a lot of people off guard. But that is what oversight is for, and so we'll continue to dig into some of those. I'm going to stop there, because I'd love to kind of hear what folks want to talk about and try to answer some questions there. But yeah, it's going to be busy.

Turmail: First of all, thank you so much. And like, where were you when my kids were young? I was just thinking, do I get a refund for all the times I paid for the immunization forms? And full disclosure, my wife is a teacher at our local elementary school, Stoddert. And I'm going to have to ask her what the process is for entering all of that information. I feel like sometimes they ask the teachers to input their own data. So I think anything you can do to sort of make that process more efficient would be well received. And, yes, any progress on the rats-- Fun fact, well, not fun fact, but interesting fact, I can't remember which local news outlet, it was potentially Axios, had a reporter do analysis of the various 311 requests that came in to the District government. And Glover Park had the highest number of reports of rat problems of any neighborhood in the city. So, I think anything you can do to address the rat problem would be incredibly well received in our community. I don't know if it means we have more rats, or we're just more worried about rats here, but it is an issue.

Henderson: I would say overall across the city, we've definitely seen a lot more folks who have submitted 311 requests. I don't want to bore you guys. I've learned a lot about rodents.

Turmail: I'm impressed with your knowledge of the model of trash can. So, yes, you've done your homework.

Henderson: I actually went out this summer. As part of my summer I went to a trash can facility. I did a tour. I looked at the various models. You know, really trying to get hands-on on this, especially because these suckers are expensive. These trash cans are expensive. Like, five grand a can. And that's only for one. And then you also have to pay for recycling as well. So, I

want to make sure that we're getting our money's worth on that. But, you know, like I said it truly has to be a multi-prong approach. But one of the things as I've been talking to ANCs and communities is like, do submit the 311 requests, because that is the way that DC Health knows to go out. That is the way that DPW knows to go and inspect. And then they are treating, after they're doing inspections, for the visible burrows and things like that that they can see. But we just need to get a better handle on it. I know that the Mayor's office has done a couple of, they call them Rat Stats. I didn't name it, but nevertheless, that is what they are. And it's going to take some time, but it's definitely something that I'm focused on, just also from like a public health perspective. But also, we like to boast in the District that we have some of the best park systems in the country. The best, you know, all those different things. And I want people to be able to enjoy that without a furry rodent running over their foot.

Turmail: Yes. I have one light-hearted question, only because it was in the local-- I'm kind of a local news junkie. It was in the news that one of your, let's say, presumptive future councilmembers, if that's the right way to put it, is advertising a viewing party where she's promoting her fandom of the Philadelphia Eagles. So, I know you're originally a New Yorker. Are we a Commanders fan? And it's okay if you're not. It's just a fun question to get the conversation started.

Henderson: Am I a Commanders--?

Turmail: Oh, okay. You don't have to make the announcement here. I'll withdraw the question.

Henderson: I would say this. Football is not really my sport.

Turmail: Fair enough.

Henderson: I watch football, but it, you know, it doesn't move me in the same way that--

Turmail: Okay. As long as you're not hosting Jets parties, we're good. Some of my fellow commissioners have some, I think, more serious questions, but thank you for being a good sport, Councilmember Henderson. I think, Commissioner Lane, you had a question or two that you wanted to ask, at the risk of putting you on the spot.

Lane: No. I withdraw.

Turmail: Oh, okay. Great. Okay. Commissioner Pollock, you had some questions you wanted to ask?

Pollock: Yes, yes, yes. But I wanted to say, first of all, we're honored to have you, Councilwoman, to join us. And we look forward to working with you and your staff. The other thing is I never thought about a rat buffet before, so you're educating me. Thank you very much for that education. I do want to talk about the DC Water issue. Can you bring us up to date about where things are with respect to the accountability of the agency? There were some

environmentalists, for example, who asked whether or not there could be an outside independent investigation into the spill that happened in the Potomac River. And there have been other suggestions about accountability. What can you tell us about what's going on with DC Water and that big problem?

Henderson: Yeah, so I would say the biggest in terms of accountability is that the General Manager for DC Water at that particular time is no longer the General Manager for DC Water. So, the head of the agency is no longer there. I'm not sure that an independent third-party investigation or commission is necessary. One thing about me, I don't like commissions for the sake of commissions. And frankly, we know what happened. We know that there had been reports in terms of certain parts of the Potomac Interceptor being in need of maintenance. We know that this had been on the schedule and that it had been delayed, right? And so I don't know what further information an independent investigator is going to share in terms of what was the cause, and where were the failures in terms of DC Water with regard to keeping up with its capital infrastructure maintenance. I think going forward we have to ask those questions of the agency from an oversight perspective, and this is also something that's shared amongst the jurisdictions, right, because the Potomac Interceptor is not just a DC structure. And I don't know if you saw, you know, earlier this year I did do-- Well, I introduced a bill that was really sort of a talking point, but did a lot of videos talking about flushable wipes and the responsibility that we all share in terms of making sure that the infrastructure, the pipes, et cetera, don't get clogged because we're putting something that shouldn't be in the pipes, in the pipes. And so, you know, DC Water, I don't anticipate that there will be a new general manager that is named this calendar year. I think that's something that's going to wait. I do anticipate that they'll do a national search. But in terms of accountability I think that's the biggest one of all. The person who was running the agency is no longer in charge.

Pollock: Okay. And I have more of a sort of a personal question. You ran as an independent, I believe.

Henderson: Yes.

Pollock: Why did you decide to run as an independent as opposed to being a candidate within the Democratic party? What's your perspective about that, please?

Henderson: Okay. So, this is actually a great opportunity to say that. With the Home Rule Act-- Oh, Ashlee from Councilmember Frumin's office, can you mute?

Turmail: Hey, Ashlee with Councilmember Frumin's office, we can hear you. Sorry about that, Councilmember.

Henderson: No, it's totally okay. Okay. So when Congress gave DC Home Rule, Congress basically said, "Sure, DC, you could vote for the people that you want, except for at least two seats of the council have to be reserved for someone not of the majority party." So you can't hold my seat and be a Democrat. And, yeah, that's basically it. There's no special reason there.

Prior to becoming a councilmember, I worked for the Democratic leader in the United States Senate. And I'll just leave it there.

Turmail: There you go.

Pollock: Well, I suspect that it is much more difficult to run as an independent than to run within the party. Is that correct?

Henderson: There are different rules. So, for instance, I have to collect more signatures than if I were to run through the party, which I think is blatantly unfair, but whatever. It's a rule. The rule is the rule, so we're just going to roll with the rule. And there are just some other types of differences in terms of what does the infrastructure look like. But, you know, I think people are getting more sophisticated in terms of how we run campaigns. And so, it hasn't been a big deal. I talk to everybody. I'm an open book, and it works.

Turmail: Speaking of the challenges of running party versus independent, where are we with fully implementing-- I know we have-- Oh, gosh.

Henderson: Ranked choice voting?

Lane: Ranked choice?

Turmail: Ranked choice voting, but we were also supposed to have open primaries. How are we doing on that?

Henderson: Yes. Thank you for bringing that up. So we did, actually, with an amendment that I did, we funded the rest of initiative 83 in this upcoming budget. And so open primaries will hit for election year 2028. Any election that takes place next year in 2027, everyone will have an opportunity to vote in it regardless. All of the 2027 elections will be considered special elections. But in 2028 there will be open primaries in the District of Columbia.

Turmail: Great. Thank you. I personally am excited about that. And then just going back to Commissioner Pollock's first question about the DC Water project. It's a relevant issue for us because next up on our agenda, we're actually getting an update on the DC Water plans to replace some infrastructure that runs through Glover Archbold Park. And I've been a commissioner for 10 of the past 12 years, and over the last 12 years we've been talking about this project, and I don't know if it's gotten any closer. So, I wonder how we can apply lessons from what happened out in Maryland on the Potomac Interceptor, and make sure that we're not holding up other key infrastructure projects.

Henderson: Yeah. I don't know those particular details, obviously, and I'm sure the DC Water representative will be able to speak to that. But I will just say that in terms of the aftermath of the Interceptor breaking and all of those different things, the engineers and the staff at DC Water who worked on the repair did a phenomenal job. And I don't know if you recall, right, they were

able to set up essentially a bypass, and then the bypass clogged. Not due to any fault of their own, but the bypass clogged because of all of these flushable wipes and other things that people were flushing. But by the time that the federal government engaged in a public way, I want to separate that out, right? Because there had been conversations that were already happening with EPA immediately. And then, you know, when it comes to the attention of the White House it becomes an entirely different thing taking on a life of its own. But by the time that that had already occurred, there was already a plan in place that was being executed. And so some of the commentary that was coming from the White House to suggest that, you know, folks weren't doing what they needed to do and we needed to ask the president for help. Theatrics. The engineers and those who were working on the ground were working, and they were doing it appropriately. I do feel like we still need to continue to do the water testing. But, yeah.

Turmail: Are there other questions for the councilmember from fellow commissioners? If not, I think I saw at least one question in the chat, if we could open up for questions from the community. I don't know how long we've got you. I don't want to abuse your time, Councilmember, but there's one question from David White. "Councilmember Henderson, is the council considering how to resolve how the council and Mayor don't yet have control of assistance from the National Guard? Also, has the council considered new ethics rules for members in light of Councilmember Trayon White's upcoming trial?" So, that's really two questions masked as one, but nonetheless, I think I would suggest two important questions.

Henderson: Sure. So, I'll take the first one. Post January 6, one of the pieces of legislation that Representative Norton introduced was to give the Mayor control of the DC National Guard. And frankly, I think one of the failures of Congress post January 6 -- there are many -- but one of them was the failure to get that bill over the finish line. And I'm hopeful that should there be changes that happen in the leadership of the Congress and others that, you know, our new representative will try to introduce that legislation again and get it moving. I think what we have all seen over the last year is truly abuse of power in terms of what the National Guard was intended to do. And frankly, I feel sorry for some of these other jurisdictions like Indiana, and Oklahoma, and West Virginia, and others, who are experiencing flooding and power outages and these serious storms, where they should have their own National Guard helping them to restore order to their city. And yet they're here in the District, not actually even helping us restore order post storm. They're just walking around. I also think, too, the amount of money that we're spending, I wish that more states were paying attention. You know, the new contracts that were announced in terms of them building out apartments across the District for National Guard members, again, it's an aggressive expansion of the purpose of the National Guard. And hopefully the Congress will provide more oversight in terms of that. In terms of your second question, the council's code of ethics is very clear that the conduct and actions that are alleged was not allowed. That is why we had an ad hoc committee. That is what led the council to expel Councilmember Trayon White. What we have realized though is that there is a loophole in the Home Rule Act that allowed for someone to be expelled from the council and then immediately run for reelection to come right back onto the body. I introduced legislation last year that essentially would provide for a charter amendment. So, it would go to all-- It would be on the

ballot for all voters to essentially close that loophole. And that bill is pending in the Committee of the Whole, and unfortunately Chairman Mendelson has not moved that bill. But that could solve some problems.

Turmail: Thank you for answering that. I don't know if there are any other questions for the councilmember from the community? Last question, and then we'll let you go. I know that earlier this year, or maybe it was even last year, this commission weighed in with some concerns, I think, is probably the right way to put it, with the proposed bottle recycling bill. Any prognosis on whether that's getting over the hump? My social media feed is blowing up with whomever spending a lot of money on this issue.

Henderson: Yeah, I mean, there are a number of groups and organizations who have differing, varying opinions on this. Prior to the break, Councilmember Allen released an updated version of the bill. There was another hearing on it. I haven't had conversations with the committee in terms of what modifications or changes would be made. I will say this. I was an original co-introducer of that legislation, but all of the conditions for which when I co-signed onto that bill have changed. The biggest being is that it was supposed to be a regional effort. So DC was introducing a bill, there was a bill that was introduced in Maryland, and there was a bill that was introduced in Virginia. The Virginia bill probably was never going to get over the finish line because they were still doing some changes in terms of the leadership that was happening over there. The Maryland bill did not get over the finish line as well. So, if DC were to move forward, we would essentially be moving forward on an island, which raises some serious concerns around, you know, fraud and other things. One of the things though, again, since introducing, I've had a lot of conversations with a lot of our grocery stores in the District around their concerns, as well as our distributors. So, folks who are in the wine and beer industry. And, yeah, I think there are still a lot of kinks to work out to see whether or not this is something that could work in the District at this particular time. And I just want to underscore that in terms of, at this particular time, given everything that's kind of happening in the economic space here and especially with our small businesses, is this the right time?

Turmail: That was the gist of this commission's concerns was, are we going to be creating a competitive disadvantage for our retailers, particularly our small retailers, given how easy it is to drive to Virginia or Maryland, and the price differential. But anyway, I'm not advocating, but just thank you for the update. I appreciate it. Well, maybe a little bit advocating, but nonetheless, appreciate the time.

Henderson: Yeah. Thank you guys so much for having me.

Turmail: Councilmember, it was great to have you, and thank you for all you're doing for the council and for the District as our at-large representative, for helping us as a community.

Henderson: Thank you. Thanks so much for having me.

Rodriguez: Thank you.

Turmail: All right. Appreciate it. Cheers.

Update from DC Water on the Glover Archbold Project [0:59:57]

Turmail: All right. Well, that was a nice segue into the next agenda item, and I believe we've got folks from DC Water who are here to give us an update on the-- And I'm going to stand by Glover Archbold project. Peter, I guess that's you who volunteered.

Kelley: I guess so.

Turmail: Thank you for hanging in there with us tonight, Peter. I'm sorry to take so much of your time.

Kelley: No, it's no problem. I appreciate, you know, the opportunity to give you guys an update. I know it's been a couple of months since we last were on your docket. So, I'm looking forward to giving you an update on where we're at with the Glover Archbold.

Turmail: Wonderful. Well, the floor is yours. Go ahead. We won't delay. We'll just get right into it if you don't mind.

Kelley: Yeah. Let me share my--

Turmail: All right. Is he able to share his?

Kelley: Can I share? Hold on.

Lane: Yes.

Turmail: You should be able to. Thank you, Commissioner Lane.

Kelley: Thank you. Can everyone see my screen?

Turmail: Yes, we can. Thank you.

Kelley: Okay. So, this is the rehabilitation of creekbed sewers. I want to go over, essentially I'm going to try to run through this so we'll have time for questions. But essentially this, I'm going to go over the project purpose and background, some of the activities that have been completed and we're working on, upcoming activities, and then we can do some Q&A. Some of the project purpose and background. This project includes Glover, one of the largest areas. I'll touch on that eventually. I'm going to go into a little background of why. The rehabilitation of the sewer and storm pipes inside of these areas, they are old. This is no secret to any city that is aging. The infrastructure is old inside of DC. I think the average-- I don't think. The average age of the

infrastructure is around 85 years old. So, our goal inside these creekbed areas is to rehabilitate these areas and protect them so that they can extend their life, their new design life, and hopefully get another 50 years of service out of them. Additionally, we're going to rehabilitate some of the defective manholes. And then part of this project also, because internally we want to increase the pipe life from the internal corrosion, such as the velocity of the sanitary and storm, as well as in the sanitary part, the corrosion from the natural breakdown of bacteria, or the bacterial breakdown of the organics inside of the sanitary that causes hydrogen sulfide gas that corrodes the pipe over time. So we want to protect it internally by either relining or replacing the pipe. But additionally, in these areas, these low laying areas, creekbeds, we have the challenge of stabilizing and protecting the stream areas, sort of stream restoration areas, where we're looking to sort of dissipate some of that stream erosion that comes down through the channels from the urban development from these areas. Because a lot of these areas are quite old. The stream banks can't take the-- The streams have meandered around due to all the velocity of the streams from the urban development over the years, and have eroded. And in a lot of areas we have a number of crossings, sanitary crossings and pipes running parallel to that are now exposed. So our goal is also, to the extent possible, provide some sort of stream restoration or stream stabilization in those areas. And then, as well, we're going to repair or rehabilitate some of these stormwater outfalls that have been degraded through over time. The project consists of essentially three areas, all of them on National Park Service property. So all these projects are will go through the NEPA, the complete NEPA process. We have Glover Archbold Park, the largest, Dunbarton Oaks, and Normanstone Parks. Glover Archbold is approximately 229 acres of park land. We have approximately 200 manhole assets and approximately 440,000 feet of storm and sanitary pipes in this project area. Right now we're identifying around 19 stream stabilization sites, and seven outfalls stabilization areas.

Speaker: This is interesting.

Kelley: Next we have-- This is Dumbarton Oaks. That's approximately 29 acres, approximately 21 manholes, 3,120 feet of sanitary sewer inside the project area. And we're looking at, right now, we're looking at around probably two major stabilization areas in this area as well. The next one is Normanstone. This one is primarily stream stabilization as the sanitary pipes have already been rehabilitated as part of a larger rehabilitation project that happened prior to. The goal of these projects is to try to rehabilitate all of the assets within the park unit so that we can protect the pipes from any disruptions, and protect from future or potential risk to SSOs, or any sanitary breaks, leaks or overflows. So currently our activities that we've completed are the following activities. We've done initial manhole and pipe inspections. Some manhole and pipes were unable to be inspected due to limited access. I know those of you are familiar with Glover, this is especially true for Glover, the terrain makes it somewhat challenging to do some of this work. Right now, we're working on revisiting and looking at alternative methods. Tree surveys along the mains, the sewer mains, we did like a 200-foot width along the pipe alignment. We've done stream assessment surveys. We've done wetland and stream delineations, and some geo technical investigations for soil and rock sampling in Normanstone. Some of our upcoming and future activities will include additional topographic surveys. This will be non-intrusive field surveys so we can gather more topographic data, such as elevations and land shape, to further

support our design. We will be doing additional tree surveys, additional wetland delineations, and additional pipe and manhole inspections. This will help us inform some of the concepts that we're working on and developing. This is our anticipated activity schedule. Right now, we're still in the preliminary investigation and concept phase. We are going to continue topographic survey. Throughout the fall, we're going to be doing some additional tree surveys in various spots throughout fall, as well as in early and late spring 2027. Also we're going to look at probably some more additional wetland delineations at some of the sites. So we'll be conducting those during the fall and through spring 2027. And some inspections, 2026 through spring 2027. If you see us out there, if we have activity ongoing, crews will be working in close proximity to trails and the trail users. Please remember to not enter the work zones. We also ask, and this is a requirement of National Parks, please keep our furry friends, our dogs, leashed for their protection as well as the protection of the workers and the people in the park. There will be a QR sign, QR code signage will be posted at the perimeter of various locations in the areas we're working. And we will be working, crews will be working to be sensitive to natural vegetation and wildlife. And we are working in close coordination with the National Park Service for many of these activities. We have additional information. If you need additional information, you could either email us to our project email. Reach out to our Senior Specialist of Community Affairs, Joyce. As well as we have a project website, which this QR code should lead you to. Additionally, we will be doing an in-person presentation to the Global Park Citizens Association coming up October 6th. And with that, I will open up to questions.

Turmail: Well, I'll start. Thank you, by the way, very much for making the time and for sharing that update. Understanding that we've got a combined storm, if I'm inferring this right, a combined stormwater sewer line running through Glover Archbold Park that we know is old, and that we had a catastrophic failure of another pipeline, much bigger, that we knew was old. What's the timeline for getting to either relining or replacing this pipe? I mean, there's a lot of leadup which I'm sure is essential, but we've been talking about this project since I got on this commission back in whenever, 2010, 2012.

Kelley: Correct. We're looking to-- We have, again, we have to work through the NEPA process, but we're looking for 2028 is when we're looking to start. I think it's on our website as well. We are using a design. I won't give the schematics of why this is different than a big build, but we did procure the actual construction team that's going to do the work. So now we have the designer and the contractor who's going to do the work on the team together. So, they're working. We're going to work on the design, so constructibility as well as impacts will be fleshed out a little further and a little quicker. And that should enable us to move quicker through the procurement of the construction to get to the construction phase. So we are looking to 2028 to start the work.

Turmail: Well, let me rephrase my question in perhaps a more positive tone. If it would help at some point for this commission to weigh in and urge whomever you need to get permission from to move forward with this project once we've got a design, feel free to come back to us and make that ask, right? I mean, I think none of us-- All of us want to make sure we never get to the point where we're having a future ANC meeting where we're talking about an effluent discharge

in Glover Park. That would be a nightmare.

Kelley: Yeah, I agree.

Turmail: Yes. With that, I'll yield my time to my fellow commissioners and/or the community to see if anyone else has questions for Peter.

Lavezzo: More of a comment than a question. In the storm we had the other day, a constituent sent me a picture. It looks like a tree came down on top of the pipe that you had in your picture. Not sure if the trunk hit it, but there was definitely a tree down on that large section of pipe in Glover Archbold Park that's exposed. I haven't made it out there to check it since I've been on vacation. So yeah, worth noting. I'm not sure if NPS knows about it yet either.

Kelley: Okay, we can take a look at it. Do we have-- In the event there is a concern, we do have the DC Water hotline that you can call, and we can come out and investigate as well.

Lavezzo: Awesome. Thanks.

Turmail: Other questions for Peter? I don't know if there's any in the chat. I have not recently checked it.

Pollock: I have a question.

Turmail: Oh, here we go. Go ahead. I'm sorry, Commissioner Pollock. Go ahead. And then, Jack, we'll get to you. I promise.

Pollock: Okay. One of the things you said in your presentation was that there were some modifications concerning inspection. Could you explain a little bit more about what the options are in terms of inspection, and when do you believe that these modifications will be accepted before we go forward?

Kelley: It's not necessarily modification of inspection. We're trying to look at alternative methods of inspection. So, we're working-- It's not really-- We're trying to be creative so that we can gather more information. We're looking into alternative methods. It's not, there's no opposition to what we're trying to do. We're trying to think outside the box to get into some of these areas.

Turmail: Is that because you can't access the manhole covers because of the topography?

Kelley: Well, yes, correct. We're just looking-- We're looking for alternative methods where we don't-- Because we also have to protect the resources coming in. So, we want to protect the park as well as access the manholes. So, we're looking at alternative methods. That's what that meant.

Pollock: Well, I mean, I don't understand. When you say alternative methods, alternative

methods compared to what you are doing right now? And can you explain what some of those alternatives are that you're looking at?

Kelley: Not at this moment, no. But what we've done previously, so we typically were using like tracked vehicles to drive in and inspect. We've done a number of inspections. So, we've brought the equipment in, tracked vehicles. We've, you know, by hand, the operators have went through, investigated the manholes as well as the pipe. In some of these areas there, it's a safety concern, right? Getting that equipment down. So, we're looking at alternative methods of possible alternatives that we can do to try to get access to some of these areas early.

Turmail: So, not to hold you to it, but you're looking at like, could you use an autonomous vehicle to go inside the pipe and make sure there's not an obstruction? Or something like that. Appreciating that it may not be an autonomous vehicle you ultimately use, but is that what you mean by alternative?

Kelley: That's exactly what I mean by that. Yes.

Turmail: Yeah. Does that help you, Commissioner Pollock?

Pollock: I was just trying to like get, you know, a deeper understanding of what we were talking about.

Turmail: They're just trying to figure out how to see what the condition of the pipe is where they can't actually open the manhole because they either got to cut down a tree, or it's too unsafe to get their equipment in there. Is that right, Peter?

Kelley: Correct. Yes, that is correct.

Pollock: Brian, Commissioner, you're doing very well.

Turmail: I do work for a construction trade association, so I know just enough to be dangerous.

Pollock: That's very good. Very good. Well, at least we have someone really informed on this on the commission, too. So the 2028 date, what is that date? What do you think will happen at 2028? What's your bottom line on this?

Kelley: The date is when construction should start.

Pollock: Should start?

Kelley: Yes. Fingers crossed, start.

Pollock: And when do we have an estimate about when you think it might be completed?

Kelley: We will know that as it develops, because there are three sites. Depending on what's required in each site, and depending on the phasing of how-- Because we're doing, you know, what has to come first, and where we start first. But essentially I would estimate for each site, maybe a year and a half for each.

Turmail: I would imagine the timeline varies greatly on what methodology, ultimately, you opt to use. If they're going to replace the pipes -- and again, Peter, if I have this wrong, forgive me -- I imagine that's a very different construction project with a significant impact than if they attempt a relining, which I think is maybe less intrusive but has some downsides.

Kelley: Correct. Like if you look at-- If everything is sort of like rehabilitation, you should be looking at a timeline-- If you look at like, are you familiar with the soapstone project? The construction time for that frame for that area?

Turmail: It's always safe to assume I know nothing. So go ahead and just maybe, just see if you can get this.

Kelley: Yeah, I would say like a span of three or four years to be completely finished. Some areas will be finished quicker. Like, some areas, depending on where they are, but this is a lot of area to cover.

Turmail: To avoid a collective freakout, you're not talking about three years of closing down access to the entire stretch of Glover Archbold Park. There would be phased construction where you might disrupt a certain part and move forward. Is that right? Or am I misspeaking here?

Kelley: No, you're correct. That's what we're talking about. Phasing. Like, the total from start to finish, to everything being done in every park. That's what you're looking at.

Turmail: Gotcha.

Kelley: That's the best we can do. But again, as we go through, as it develops, we will be able to inform the community better of the timeline. But right now, we're in the very, very early stages.

Turmail: Other questions, Commissioner? We do have, I think Jack wants to ask a question. We have one written question as well. Jack, go ahead. You're on the air.

Everett: Thank you. There's five sections to Glover Archbold Park, the stream which is known as Foundry Branch. So, there's from Van Ness to Massachusetts, Massachusetts to Cathedral, Cathedral to New Mexico, New Mexico to Reservoir, and Reservoir to the Canal. The largest section is our section, which is the Foundry Branch, which is between New Mexico and Reservoir. A couple things about that. It looks like to me that there are two main pipes that go parallel to the stream. And I've noticed that lately the surveyors have pretty much completed surveying that section of Foundry Branch. I haven't noticed activity in any of the others. I did notice that there was a manhole cover off a manhole very close to the old railroad trestle, which

is near Canal Road. I called 311. They sent me to DC Water, and Water sent me to the Park Service. I left a message. I don't know if the manhole cover was put back. But I'll check it again and if it's still off, I'm going to call 311 again. The question that I have is that the water that comes out of the two pipes near New Mexico into a pool are rank. They're smelly. They're dirty. Do you test it, and can you tell us what the contents of that water is, and where it comes from?

Kelley: So, the two mains going down Glover, one is sanitary and the other is storm. The largest one is storm. Those discharge pipes are not sanitary, they're stormwater.

Everett: Well, what is the sanitary pipe?

Kelley: The sanitary pipe is the smaller one that runs parallel to it. But the ones that are exposed, but the ones that you're talking about, the discharge, that's stormwater. That's not a combined.

Everett: Something bad is coming out of that. All you have to do is go look at it. And you can tell that for a good hundred yards or more, all of the rocks along the stream have black scum all over them. It clears up as you go downstream. For example, there is another pipe that comes out by the Victory Garden off of 41st Street. And that water is clear. But the water that comes out of those two pipes, one or the other, is not clear. Can you tell me what is coming out of there besides stormwater?

Kelley: There will be runoff from the street.

Everett: I don't believe that. Can you send us the results of your water tests, and let us know what's coming out of that water and confirm that it's runoff?

Kelley: You could, yes, you could make a request that-- You could send that as a request. I do know DOEE has already, we work in conjunction with them as they test the Foundry Branch area.

Everett: Could I ask the ANC to make that inquiry?

Kelley: If you send the request to us--

Everett: Who, me? No, I'm not going to do it. The ANC is, isn't that correct?

Turmail: Did you just volunteer us for something? Certainly, it's something you can ask, and the ANC can consider. And if the ANC unanimously, you know, agrees to do it, we could do it. It'd be a lot easier, Jack, if you did it. But we're so happy to entertain the request.

Everett: Okay. I'm happy that you'll do that. Thank you.

Turmail: Yes.

Everett: That's the end of my questions.

Turmail: Thank you, Jack. Appreciate it. And do send us a request. We'll consider it. I just, you know, we've got a process we've got to go through, right? I don't get to speak for the entire commission, despite my efforts sometimes to. One last question from David White. It seems that, this is his question, "It seems as if at least once a year some portion of DC has a boil water alert. And with the very concerning huge pipe burst that put sewage into the Potomac that we discussed earlier, I'm worried about the quality of our home drinking water. What projects are going on now to protect our home drinking water? Is there anything you guys are doing in terms of upgrades to the water, the clean water that comes into the houses?" Peter.

Kelley: So, there is-- I would suggest you visit our-- This project will not affect the quality of your water. This is not a water project. This is sanitary and storm only. I'm on the storm side.

Turmail: This project is water coming out. I think Dave's asking about water coming in.

Kelley: Yeah, you're talking about water coming in. We do have a number of projects, water projects, that are going on. I know we keep our website updated with our current and proposed projects, that they can visit and share. But off the top of my head that is a completely different department, unfortunately.

Turmail: Gotcha. So maybe something we want to talk about at a future meeting. I appreciate it. All right. I don't know if there's any other questions.

Kelley: You can also reach out to Joyce, and she can get you an update from that department as well.

Turmail: Gotcha. Fair enough. Thank you. If there are no other questions for Peter, only because we're running into the getting close to overtime, I want to say thank you for presenting. Thank you for spending the time with us. Thank you for the update. And I am serious, if at some point it would be helpful to have this commission weigh in on an issue. Obviously, we'd have to decide if we want to, but don't be afraid to make that ask.

Kelley: That's much appreciated.

Turmail: If that will accelerate the project.

Kelley: That is very appreciated.

Lane: Can we also get a copy of the slides?

Kelley: We will send that to you. Thank you.

Turmail: Thank you, Peter. Appreciate it. Much obliged.

Update on the Restoration of the Stoddert Field Following Completion of Construction Projects at Stoddert Elementary School [1:25:55]

Turmail: Okay. And then-- Oh, no, I moved off the page where I had the agenda. The last item for new business for this evening is I think we're looking for an update on the restoration of Stoddert Field following the completion of the construction project. I know we've got Chris from DPR. I don't recall if we had someone committed from DGS.

Lane: We invited someone from DGS and also from DCPS, but I don't know which, who's here.

Dyer: Commissioner, I've got it. We do have some good groups of people here.

Turmail: Great. Thank you. And appreciate your guys' patience, by the way.

Dyer: Hey, no, no. Anytime I can hear Councilmember Henderson speak is awesome. And as a fellow ANC commissioner, I always appreciate how other commissions address issues. So, it's always fun. I'm used to being in a community meeting. There's something wrong with me. But, yes.

Turmail: Well, thank you, Commissioner Dyer. We appreciate it.

Dyer: And I'm really happy to finally be around to have an opportunity to say hi. I'm not going to speak much or for long, which is always a rarity, but I would be remiss if I didn't take a quick opportunity to plug a few things for you guys. If you're not familiar, we do have all of our programs, DPR programs, are listed at dprprograms.com. Our winter programming, registration for winter programming will be on November 4th for aquatics, and November 5th for non-aquatics. We are well into transitioning to our fall programming right now. If you look at dprevents.com you'll see a list of all the events that are happening across the city. All of our outdoor pools are actually closed now for the season, as well as our splash parks. We look forward to reopening again next summer. And you've already, Commissioner Lane has already talked about the PIP placement that's happening at Glover and the-- I'm sorry, over at--

Turmail: Guy Mason.

Dyer: Thank you, Guy Mason. Thank you. I have so many of these and my brain is now frozen. Anyway, but now to-- And also the wonderful 9/11 event that the Friends of Guy Mason is sponsoring. So, that being said, it's now my pleasure, I'm gonna also drop my contact information into the chat. If anyone on the call, or any commissioner needs to reach me, I'm the agency point of contact for the commission. I also extend that to anyone who was happy enough to take valuable time out of their day to visit this ANC meeting. So if you have questions about DPR, email me. And now I'm going to turn it over to Tom Henderson from DGS, who will

also introduce the Chiaramonte design team to give you an update about the historic field. And then I might come in and answer any questions about what happens, how DPR and DGS maintain it after it is put. So I'm going to turn over to Tom. And thanks for inviting us. We're really excited to be here.

Turmail: Thank you so much. And Tom, welcome, and again, appreciate your patience.

Henderson: Oh, thank you. And thank you, Chris. Yeah, my name's Tom Henderson, a DGS project manager for both the east end classroom addition that was finished last summer, and the cafeteria kitchen addition that was just finished this most recent summer. I've brought along with me John Montemayor with Chiaramonte Construction. He's going to give you updates on everything he's been doing, and he's done a lot this week, as a matter of fact, to take care of some issues on the field. And with that, John, go ahead and give us some updates.

Turmail: Well, welcome John.

Montemayor: Thank you for having me. As Tom said, I'm John Montemayor. I'm with Chiaramonte Construction. As Tom mentioned, we just wrapped up the the west end cafeteria for the Stoddert Elementary School. And if it's all right, I'll just share my screen and kind of give you a reference point of the area we're talking about here. So, let me know if you all are able to see it.

Turmail: Not seeing it yet, but we're-- Oh, it looks like it's come up, John. Well, almost. Right now, we just-- There we go.

Montemayor: All right. Great. Sorry, my brain's a little tired.

Turmail: I get it, I get it.

Montemayor: So, this is an old reference point for the east side, which is obviously where the field's located. So, during the west side construction phase, we actually had the temporary parking area for the teachers in this area. And so as a part of the wrap up of the west side construction, we're working through restoring this field back to its original intention. And obviously as you all aware, as we work through this process there's a punch list that happens, and we're working through just making sure we get all the the nooks and crannies tidied up. Part of the area that we're working through right now is we've got sod restoration happening here, and then up against the fence line. There's a good portion of it that survived the summer, and now that we're moving into a better planting season, we're replacing the areas that have kind of, you know, died out, so to speak. So, our landscaping team has been working through yesterday, today, and they'll be back out there tomorrow to resod all the way out to the fence line, and then up to the sidewalk. There's also a section that was originally sodded last summer that ran in between where the existing garden is for the school, and then the new east addition. And that's sort of been burned out as, you know, student traffic to go to this student garden just kind of burns through that grass. So, in collaboration with our landscape team, as well as the DGS and

DCPS team, we're looking at alternative solutions to provide some type of stone stepping path to the garden so we don't just keep burning through sod, and having what turns out to be a dirt path here. So, there's more to follow there. We're trying to figure out the final design solution and then work through the cost of which with the DGS team. I think it was also notated that, you know, the existing batting cage that's up in this corner of the site, results in some bent posts. So we had our team fix the post. They just got replaced today. And I can flip through some photos here so it's not so ambiguous. So, this is part of the sod restoration work that's happening over the last two days. So there's new sod. You can see some of the old sod that just hasn't been taken. So, we're replacing that, putting new sod down. And they'll be watering it daily until it takes. And fortunately we're moving into some of the cooler weather, although it doesn't feel like it right now, we'll certainly be hitting those fall months where it takes a little bit better. So as you can tell we replaced a good bit of sod between yesterday and today, working through that process. So, the last section we'll be really working through is on this sod up to the fence line. And then also, you know, we've been performing cleanup just of any other east side construction materials that were up against this fence line just to make sure it's all cleaned up and ready to go. And here's the replacement post that we put in, just cleaning up that portion of the batting cage.

Turmail: Has the--

Montemayor: And there's actually a-- Sorry, I had one more thing to say.

Turmail: No, go ahead. Forgive me for interrupting you, John.

Montemayor: There's a section of sidewalk here that's got to get replaced, so that'll be happening early next week to, you know, I'd say finalize kind of the the public space work that we've been working through on this part of the site. So, I can jump back to the--

Turmail: Okay. It's been a minute since I've walked past there, but the northeast corner, the last time I walked by there I think there was still like a temporary construction fence obstructing the stairway that's kind of right here.

Montemayor: You're talking about right here. Yeah, we'll be taking that down tomorrow, making sure that's good to go. I know what you're talking about, yeah. That's got to come down, and then there's also a section of sidewalk here that's got some temporary asphalt. It's going to get chipped out, and then the sidewalks just got to get replaced to put it back in its original condition there.

Turmail: This might be a question for Commissioner Dyer if I could use your title there.

Dyer: Sure.

Turmail: At one point I feel like there was irrigation for the entire field. And then I feel like it went away. What's the status of that?

Dyer: Oh, Tom and I were talking about this before the call and we had a bet whether this would come up. We have to find out.

Turmail: I don't know who won, but you're welcome.

Dyer: Right. No. This is something that we would have to check in with DGS on to see what the status of the irrigation. Do you happen-- We would have to do some more detail to find out when the irrigation system actually-- If the system was broken before construction, but DGS would have to look into this and we will follow up, and I'm sure you will-- Yeah, poke me with a stick afterwards.

Turmail: Yeah. I don't know if any of my fellow commissioners know that, but I just know it when you guys renovated the field, whenever, it had a wonderful irrigation system, and then it just stopped.

Dyer: There are so many wonderful irrigation-- There are so many wonderful irrigation systems that have been working in our city that have stopped working in the past five years. But that would more than likely-- Sorry, John. And I do have to apologize to Chiaramonte about calling you Chiaramonte Design as opposed to Kierante Construction.

Montemayor: No worries.

Dyer: Yeah. No, we can dig into it, Commissioner.

Turmail: Gotcha. And Commissioner Lane, you said something?

Lane: Well, when they did the renovation back in late two 2000s, 2009, 2010, there was a whole geothermal system put under the field to power. And Lex might be able to talk more about that, too.

Montemayor: Yep. And that that's still there. Yeah. The geothermal well system is still in place there.

Turmail: So that's for heating and cooling at Stoddert? Or is that for--

Lane: It's for Stoddert, for the school.

Montemayor: Correct. That helps manage the HVAC system for the school.

Lane: Right.

Turmail: Full disclosure, John. Mrs. Turmail teaches in the East Wing and loves her new space.

Montemayor: All right. That's great to hear.

Turmail: I'll send you her punch list. No, I'm just kidding.

Montemayor: All right.

Dyer: Also, I must say, that's pretty impressive that you guys have had geothermal since 2008, 2009. That's pretty cool. So, I know that's sort of the new wave of we're going all geothermal with most of our projects now, especially because we have to have net zero. So, that's pretty cool.

Turmail: Yes. Well, anyway, we'd love to hear more on the whatever the answer is on the the irrigation system. I don't know if anyone knows when it stopped functioning, but we had obviously, you know, you get through dry months and the field turns a little crispy without the irrigation system. And it does get a lot of use. That baseball field in particular.

Montemayor: Yeah, fortunately there is, I know there's hose bib connections here. So, I know for the sake of what we're doing to try to water it and get it to take and then, you know, as we approach into the fall season, the fall planting season, and then into the winter, we should be in pretty good shape for that.

Turmail: Gotcha. Thank you. Are there questions from other commissioners for the Stoddert Field team, for lack of a better phrase? Or from the community? I'm sorry. Go ahead.

Dyer: Yeah, I just wanted to point out that once our good friends are done with the construction, they will turn the field over to us, I presume. And we from DPR will do the permitting through our opening permitting system. So, I'm looking forward to finding that the field's back online, because we certainly have had quite a-- We're in high demand for our fields. And in terms of general maintenance-- Everyone's nodding. I know, it's sad. But in terms of general maintenance, the Department of General Services is responsible for the general maintenance of the field. We are their client, and I think at minimum they do a mowing of the field on a regular basis.

Turmail: I can say that DGS does a better job than the National Park Service, but don't get me started on one of my trigger points.

Dyer: We won't.

Turmail: Yes. Okay. I don't see any other questions. It's late. You guys have been great, and I appreciate it. And we do appreciate the investment that the District has made in Stoddert and its various expansions, and are excited about the new facilities. Commissioner Howie has some-- Oh, I guess you're not a Stoddert parent anymore. I was going to say, do we have an inside the school report? But yours are at Hardy, right?

Howie: Yeah, seventh and eighth grade, Hardy.

Turmail: I just think you're younger than you are.

Howie: Aww, thanks, Brian.

Turmail: Anyway, thank you, Team Stoddert, for joining us tonight.

Dyer: And Commissioners, thank you for all the work you're doing, especially for the pay we get. I completely appreciate the work that you're doing and look forward to those who are coming back next term to work with you. And for those of you who are not, thank you for your time and service. Have a great evening. We are heading out.

Turmail: Thank you so much. Appreciate it.

Montemayor: Thank you.

Open Forum [1:39:00]

Ward 3 Councilmember Frumin's Office [1:39:00]

Turmail: All right. Thank you all. We've just got three pieces of-- Well, open forum first. Does anyone have anything for open forum? Ashlee?

Mercer: Hello.

Turmail: Hi, Ashlee. How are you?

Mercer: I'm doing well. Sorry I'm not presenting my face, as I'm driving from the listening session I had tonight in my ward.

Turmail: Just be safe in a work zone and you'll be fine.

Mercer: Yep, I'm good. I'm going under the speed limit. So our next Work Day in the Ward will be held in Glover Park. Oh, hold on. Sorry. My AirPods just died.

Turmail: Oh, I'm on pins and needles now. Perhaps we'll just, real quick, Ashlee, while you deal with the technology--

Mercer: Okay, can you hear me now?

Turmail: Oh, you're there. Perfect. I stalled long enough.

Mercer: Okay, great. So, our next Word Day in the Ward is September 24th at Point Chaud in Glover Park. We will be bringing DOB. I'm trying to get Department of Health. I'm also trying to do a sweep team inspection for the Glover Park Main Street corridor because we've been getting a lot of complaints about rats in that area. So, hopefully they can come and visit us. We will walk with Kate at Main Streets. And we welcome anyone else to come and have coffee with the councilmember from 8:00 to 10:00. We'll be there.

Turmail: Right, 8:00 to 10:00, Point Chaud. On the 24th of September, you said?

Mercer: Say that again. I'm sorry.

Turmail: What was the date?

Lane: September 24th.

Mercer: September 24th. I don't know why I can't hear you guys.

Turmail: Commissioner Lane, you had a--

Lane: Is DOB going to be there all day? Is there a particular time when DOB is going to be there?

Turmail: Ashlee, did you hear that?

Mercer: Hello?

Turmail: Ashlee, what are the hours that DOB will be there? I think they're in high demand.

Mercer: Do will be there between, I believe, 12:00 and 1:00. Let me just double check real quick. I don't think they put the schedule. It should be on our website, but let me just double check. They should be there at 12:00, from 12:00 to 1:00,

Lane: Thank you.

Mercer: Yes, Ma'am. And then we will have, let's see, recess is over starting September 15th, or September 16th, sorry. And the first legislative meeting following the summer recess is scheduled for Tuesday, September 22nd, my birthday.

Turmail: Happy birthday.

Lane: Happy birthday.

Mercer: Thank you. There will be a busy, busy schedule of public hearings and votes throughout the fall. Council hearings and roundtables are held at the Wilson Building. You can find the full calendar on the council website, and I will put the link in the chat. Stay tuned to our office social media channels and newsletter for legislative updates. That's all I have for you guys.

Turmail: Thank you, Ashlee.

Mercer: Thank you. Thank you for bearing with me and my technical troubles.

Turmail: Totally cool. Totally cool. Anyone else have an open forum update? Going once, going twice.

Administrative Matters [1:42:42]

Turmail: All right, we're going on to administrative business. We do have to approve the July 2026 minutes. I was not at that meeting, but Commissioner Lane summarized the meeting, circulated the minutes, and everyone has had a chance to review and edit them. And I'll make a motion that we approve the minutes. Is there a second?

Howie: Second.

Turmail: I'm seeing Commissioner Howie for the second. All in favor, raise your hand or say, "aye." One, two, three, four, five. Six commissioners voting for it. And that's all the commissioners who are present. Let's see if I can say it in a way that's correct. We also have to-- I don't think we have to approve, but we have to hear about the monthly financial report for July and August of '26. Is that right?

Lavezzo: Yep. This is pretty easy. For July and August we had the same balance which is \$53,489.76. No checks were cashed. We didn't receive any of our allotment from the District those two months.

Turmail: Should we have received an allotment or no? We weren't supposed to get an allotment?

Lavezzo: No, no, that should show up September.

Turmail: Okay. I got nervous for a second.

Lavezzo: Yeah. No, we're approved for our quarter 4 allotment. Our finances are in order with OANC, so we're good to go there. I also have preliminary budget information for fiscal year 2027 to present. Give me one moment here to get the number I want to see up. Okay. So, our

allotment has not changed. It's still \$3,985.21 per quarter, for a total of \$15,940 and change. We spoke briefly, and we're going to keep all of our budget line items for disbursements the same as fiscal year 26, which is to say zero for personnel. These numbers are for the entire year, not broken down by quarter. Zero for personnel, \$5,000 for direct office costs, \$5,000 for communication, zero for office supplies, equipment and printing, \$25,000 for grants, zero for transportation, \$10,000 for purchase of service, and we previously had \$50 for the ANC legal fund and that no longer exists. So, other will be zeroed out in comparison to fiscal year 26. Nothing to vote on for that. I just want to make the community aware. Commissioner Lane will get that on the website, and then next month we'll do a vote on the budget for fiscal year 27.

Turmail: Any questions about the financial reports and/or the draft FY27 ANC 3B budget? Thank you. With that, a reminder that our next meeting is Thursday, October 8th, 7:00 p.m., virtually via Zoom. We'll post information about it a week in advance. And again, we are expecting to hear about the proposed cannabis medical dispensary in the neighborhood. And hopefully we'll have an updated draft agreement to review and potentially vote on with that.

Lane: It'll be as easy as the last dispensary we had that was here for three years before we even knew it.

Turmail: I will keep my fingers crossed. With that, unless there are other issues, I'm going to make a motion that we end tonight's meeting. I don't know if anyone favors. All right, I see a second. I see at least five of the six hands up, six hands up. Anyone who doesn't want the meeting to end can stay, but I'm out of here. And may everyone be safe. And hopefully we will see all of you, all eight of you still on this meeting, at Art All Night tomorrow in Glover Park. Cheers.