

Transcript of ANC 3B Meeting of February 12, 2026

Edited for Clarity and Readability

Timestamp	Agenda Items
0:00:00	Call to Order
0:00:00	Approval of Agenda
0:02:23	Police Report
0:14:14	Old Business
0:14:14	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Update on the Glover Park Liquor License Moratorium
0:15:05	New Business
0:15:05	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Briefing and Discussion with Jason Williamson, DC Department of Buildings
1:03:48	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Discussion and Resolution Regarding DPR Plans to Renovate the Guy Mason Recreation Center Playground
	Updates and Information
1:11:25	Open Forum
1:11:25	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mayor's Office of Community Relations and Services (MOCRS)
1:17:07	Administrative Matters

Call to Order [0:00:00]

Approval of Agenda [0:00:00]

Lane: All right, resume recording. All right, it is 6:59. I don't let anybody in until 7 o'clock. Anyway, so it's a pretty straightforward agenda. But I don't, you know, I'm sure we're going to

hear about snow. We're going to hear about the murder. And I'm hoping the Friends of Guy Mason people don't fight over this, the sandbox thing here.

Lavezzo: Here I was hoping the most exciting part would be the QFR.

Rodriguez: The kitty litter box.

Lane: The kitty litter box. Okay.

Howie: What is QFR? Oh, quarterly financial report?

Lane: The quarterly financial report. It's very exciting. Ours is more exciting than most.

Lavezzo: Yeah.

Lane: All right. 7 o'clock. Here we go. They're all coming in. Okay, they're all in.

Rodriguez: All right. Hi, everyone. I'd like to welcome you to the February meeting for ANC 3B. Let's see here. We have five of the six commissioners present. Brian Turmmail is out for this evening due to a conflict. So, we still have our quorum with our five. First bit of business is going to approve the agenda for this evening's meeting. Can I get a motion to approve, please?

Lane: Motion to approve.

Rodriguez: And then a second.

Lavezzo: Second.

Howie: Second.

Rodriguez: All right. Kevin, thanks for the second. Motion is unanimous and the agenda--

Lane: Take the vote. Take the vote.

Rodriguez: Oh, sorry. Take the vote. Say, "aye," for those who approve.

Commissioners: Aye.

Pollock: I think in the Soviet Union they do that, by the way.

Rodriguez: And now we're doing-- Okay. We have the five approving the agenda for this evening's meeting. Let's see here.

Police Report [0:02:23]

Rodriguez: First of all, do we have Lieutenant Johnson there?

Lane: Lieutenant Johnson is here.

Rodriguez: Lieutenant Johnson, welcome to the February meeting. I assume you have some updates for us, especially after since last evening. So, the floor is yours.

Johnson: Hello, everyone. It's Lieutenant Johnson from the Second District PSA 204, which includes ANC 3B, Glover Park. And this month we have 12 crimes to report. That's a little up from 10 the same time last year. And unfortunately last night we had a homicide. Around 4:30 p.m., in the 4100 block of W Street Northwest, it was domestic related. The case was closed by, I guess, the death of the suspect. He killed himself in DC. So, that case is closed, but he shot, killed his girlfriend and shot the daughter. And that's a very unfortunate event there. We also had a robbery on the 3700 block of Benton Place Northwest. Took place on February the 6th. It was originally a theft from auto. What happened was it was a plumbing truck. Sorry. Hello? It started off as a theft from auto. The owner came back to his work truck to get his lunch and saw someone inside of his truck. And the suspects displayed a weapon and robbed the suspect of his tools and property. That happened at the 3700 block of Benton Place. So that's the one robbery we have. We had one homicide. And we had five theft from autos, and four thefts, and one motor vehicle theft. The motor vehicle theft was a 2020 Chevy Tahoe that was recovered the same day with the OnStar that they had. And that's all we have for the police report this month. Any questions I can answer about anything going on in Glover Park? Any traffic concerns? Any questions I can answer about the homicide yesterday? I'll try to answer what I can.

Rodriguez: Sure. Any questions from the commissioners, please?

Pollock: Well, I have one question, a couple questions. First of all, did the shooting occur in the apartment or outside on the street?

Johnson: The report reads like it happening in the lobby area.

Pollock: I see. All right. And there was a little boy and a girl. The girl was shot in the arm. The boy was okay. Is that correct?

Johnson: Right. I believe it was two little boys. One was eight. He was okay. And the three-year-old was dropped off at his grandmother's house.

Pollock: Right.

Johnson: Right.

Pollock: And are the two children, are they in custody of a family member at this point? And they're okay?

Johnson: Yeah, the two children are okay. I can't confirm where they are, but they're safe.

Pollock: They're safe. How about the girl? They said she was shot in the arm. Any serious complications there?

Johnson: No, she's going to be okay.

Rodriguez: All right. VVery tragic situation. I'm glad that the threat is over and the case is closed. Any questions from the public to Lieutenant Johnson?

Suna: I would like to ask a question.

Johnson: Yes.

Suna: Lieutenant Johnson, there was a gentleman arrested last week who lives at 4000 Tunlaw Road. I understand he's still in DC detention. Can you elaborate or tell us anything about theft of material from Northern Virginia, a rental car?

Johnson: What date? I don't have any information on that right now. Can you give me the date that happened? You said 4000 Tunlaw?

Suna: Well, it was about 15 policemen on the side of the Victory Garden last week in an evening hour, about 7:30-ish.

Johnson: Do you know what date it was, or what day of the week?

Suna: I cannot accurately recall now.

Lane: It was Wednesday.

Suna: Thank you.

Lane: Wednesday, the 5th, I believe. Wednesday, the 4th.

Howie: And that would be on 42nd Street, Lieutenant Johnson.

Lane: Right. 42nd Street.

Suna: That's correct.

Lane: Right next to 4000 Tunlaw.

Johnson: Okay. I can look that up and get back with you. Who should I email with the information?

Suna: Can I put it in the chat?

Johnson: The chat, yeah.

Suna: I'm the president of the board of directors here at 4000 Tunlaw.

Johnson: That's L. Suna?

Suna: Yeah. Lila. L-I-L-A. Thank you very much.

Johnson: I'll look it up and I'll email you with information, Ma'am.

Rodriguez: All right. Looks like we have another question from Grace.

Grace: Yes. My question is it seems that-- Well, first, let me say I live on 39th between Davis, and I'm on the top end of the school. I can't think of the name of the street that's right here. But my concern is it seems that from being on the neighbors app and just listening to the lieutenant report and say that there are two more crimes, like, it seems to be escalating. And is there a plan in place to either figure out like what's going on? Or how is this going to be tackled by the DC Police Department? Like, what's going on? Do y'all have like all hands on deck? Or are you going to show up more in the communities, not just when something happens? Are we going to be reactionary, or are we going to be precautionary? So, are we going to set some things in place to where the community and the police are working together to make sure that the community stays safe, and that those numbers do not escalate any higher? So, that's what I want to know. Like, what is actually the plan of action for this by NYPD?

Johnson: Yeah, MPD.

Grace: Not NYPD, I'm sorry. By the Metropolitan Police Department, yes.

Johnson: Yeah. And unfortunately, the homicide is domestic related and that is closed. So, the plan for that would be that's closed. It's over. It's not going to happen again.

Grace: Well, well, I'm not-- I'm sorry. I don't mean to cut you off, but I don't mean to speak for that solitary thing. What I'm saying is, you said when you first opened that it was, the crime, you were like, "It's getting more frequent." And like I said, from what I'm seeing in the neighborhood app, and then on the other chats that I see in the neighborhood, things are happening. I don't know if they're being reported, but things are happening. So, what is the plan? I'm not just singling it out. I mean, what is the plan of action for DC police as far as to keep things so that it

does not get out of hand, so that the balloon does not bust? That's what I want to know.

Johnson: Well, Ma'am, crime has been going down all over the city, as you know. We have our federal partners that ride with our MPD officers, our crime suppression teams. They're out there proactively patrolling the streets in reference to these theft from autos. That's the only increase we're seeing now, is the theft from autos. They're trending up. Everything else is about the same. Thefts are going down. Motor vehicle thefts are down. The robbery went up, but that robbery shouldn't have been a robbery. It was a theft from auto. It just turned into a robbery because the owner responded back to his truck. So, our crimes in Glover Park are usually just, we only have thefts. And we usually only have like 10 or 11 crimes to report per month. This month, we have 12. We've been up two crimes, and the two crimes was one homicide and one robbery, which came from a theft from auto. But like I said, we have our federal partners out there. We have a crime suppression team, and our manpower is up due to the federal partners that we have riding with our crime suppression team. So, we do have increased presence. The National Guard's out there. So, we have things in place.

Grace: Where are they? Where are they? Because I don't see, not on this side.

Johnson: No, not in Glover Park, but in 2D. We have them at Dupont Circle. We have them on M Street. The National Guard's up in Tenleytown near the Metro stations. They're mainly at the Metro stations.

Grace: Okay. Okay.

Rodriguez: I've seen them also down in Georgetown, and walking all the way up Wisconsin.

Grace: Yeah, I have seen them down, I have seen them down in Georgetown. And I have seen them in Tenley--

Rodriguez: Yeah, and they walk all the way up Wisconsin.

Grace: But I have not seen them like around here.

Rodriguez: There's the cameras, too. The cameras that just do surveillance up and down Wisconsin. I know that they increased some of those cameras also, recently.

Lane: I actually have seen National Guard in Glover Park, up around mostly around the Vice President's and around Calvert, up at that area.

Johnson: They mainly stay in the high traffic. We don't have the foot traffic like they have in Georgetown, and Tenleytown, and Dupont Circle. They're in the high-traffic areas. But our crime suppression team, they're there in Glover Park. You'll see them doing traffic stops up and down Wisconsin, on Davis. So, you'll see them back on Tunlaw and patrolling for us, mainly in the afternoon and early times. Probably when you're at work between 11:00 and 4:00. That's when

these theft from autos happen, and the work trucks come and do their jobs around the neighborhood. They target the work trucks, specifically the plumbing trucks. They target them for theft from autos, those plumbing trucks with those tools.

Grace: Okay. Okay.

Rodriguez: All right. Let's get another question from Leticia Gomez. Looks like we have another question from Leticia Gomez. Want to go ahead?

Gomez: Yeah. You just mentioned, Lieutenant, that some of the thefts are from trucks for their tools. Is there any trend in terms of personal vehicles? And if there are, is there-- Do you have any recommendations for what we need to do to prevent our vehicles from being stolen? I mean, is there something you're seeing in terms of what--

Johnson: With the personal vehicles, if things are left out in plain sight, they'll take-- If you leave a purse or anything in plain view, these guys will take like laptops or purses. Folks that run into a store and maybe leave something, like a book bag on a seat, they'll break in and get that. As long as things aren't in plain view, and the doors are locked, and the car's not running. They're trying not to target the personal vehicles, but the work trucks, specifically plumbing trucks. They're taking something called a press tool. And the press tool is very valuable. I guess it's a high-resale item for the criminals. But for your own safety, you just, you know, keep things out of plain view, and lock your doors, and never leave your car running. Things like that.

Rodriguez: All right. Any other questions from the forum? All right. Lieutenant Johnson, thank you very much for all your information this evening. Thanks for everything you do for our community, and we will see you again next month for our March meeting.

Johnson: All right, everyone be safe. I'll see you next month.

Rodriguez: Thanks.

Old Business [0:14:14]

Update on the Glover Park Liquor License Moratorium [0:14:14]

Rodriguez: Okay, moving down the agenda to old business. We have an update on the Glover Park liquor license moratorium. We did a resolution in some previous months that we brought over to ABCA. ABCA has adhered to our resolution, and they have extended the moratorium for 3 years. And they have one open tavern club license that's available for use should a business wish to apply for that over the three-year moratorium. Melissa, anything I'm missing on this one?

Lane: One additional license to the two that we already have.

Rodriguez: Got it. So, one additional license to the two. Total of three?

Lane: Yes.

Rodriguez: Okay. Let's see. Any questions from the commissioners or from the public on this item?

New Business [0:15:05]

Briefing and Discussion with Jason Williamson, DC Department of Buildings [0:15:05]

Rodriguez: All right. And then moving on to the next agenda item, we're going into our new business. And we have a briefing discussion with Jason Williamson from Department of Buildings. And Melissa, would you like to introduce?

Lane: Sure. We have, actually, Jason Williamson here to talk about Department of Buildings. And specifically we had one building we'd like to talk about at 3900 Edmunds. And we also have Amir, is he here? I think he is. Yes, Emir Gur-Raventab here from the department, from DLCP, which is the licensing, and I'm going to get it wrong. The license people.

Gur-Ravantab: Production

Lane: Yeah, that, thank you. Anyway, so Jason and Emir's here to answer any questions you have. But I think Jason's going to talk about the specifics we had. Jason?

Williamson: Hello. Good evening, everyone. My name is Jason Williamson. I'm a liaison in the director's office at DC Department of Buildings. My particular areas are Ward 3 and Ward 6. And so, like the commissioner said, I'm here to discuss some of the particulars of one project, or one property, that I understand has been giving you guys some headaches. As well as answer any questions that you may have on any of our processes, particularly with regard to illegal construction enforcement. I did receive the address. I think the address in question, or the one that was of particular concern, was 3900 Edmunds Street Northwest?

Lane: Correct.

Williamson: And I see that, you know, there was a-- A stop work order was issued at the end of December. I think the initial complaint was around dust and debris. However, when the inspector arrived and went through the paperwork, he did cite them and issued a stop work order because they had failed to obtain a wall check, which is required. I believe it's after the walls are a foot above the footer. And many of you probably are already aware, but for those of you who don't

know, that's basically just to confirm that the foundation that as built, or is being built and complies with the permitted plans. Just to ensure that you know the builder is not building over the property line. And they do that at the beginning stages, before framing starts, to ensure that the building doesn't get halfway finished, and then we discover that the building has been built on the property line or on public space. So that stop work order was issued. I found that on I think 1/29, so on January 29th it was released because they had obtained the wall checks, and they were found to be in compliance. I know that at some point there was another illegal construction complaint, because I think someone had witnessed the builder had been, there had been some activity there. They had been bringing in materials or something, which would violate the building code. If there's a stop work order issued, then there should be no, absolutely no construction activity at all on the property. Unfortunately, a violation wasn't, or a citation wasn't issued for that particular complaint. I think that one was mid-January. I think it was on, I don't know exactly, but it was between the issuance of stop work order, and when they were found to be in compliance, I think it was January 14th. Unfortunately when the inspector arrived, there was no work being done at the time. And so, you know, he couldn't issue a citation for that particular complaint. Generally, if there's a stop work order that's issued and posted, and it's found -- and this happens all the time -- it's found that someone is continuing to work, then the inspector will go out and issue another stop work order. It's technically a stop work order, but it's for unlawful continuance. And, of course, there's also a fine, an additional fine that's attached to that. Now, stop work orders generally carry a fine of up to \$5,000. And then, of course, unlawful continuance, that fine would double. So, generally, we don't have that problem. But when we do, they do issue additional citations for that. But in this case they weren't able to see them performing any work, so citations were issued. To my knowledge, or from what I understand, I've looked in our system, they are in compliance. And so they are allowed to be working at this point. I know there is kind of a continual complaint about dust and debris. The building code does speak to dust, and mitigation of dust. That's difficult. That's, again, one of those things that's difficult to enforce, just because our inspectors -- and this goes for our housing inspectors as well as construction or illegal construction inspectors -- when there's a complaint, they have to, you know they come to the site and they have to actually witness whatever the unlawful behavior is, or whatever the behavior is that violates the building code. So, dust and debris is difficult. Noise violations can also be difficult. Although, noise violations are probably easier to enforce, because when there's excessive construction noise that is above the allowed decibels, that generally is something that is continuous. So, if you're, say, I don't know jackhammering, that's something that's going to continue over a period of time. And then so when we send our inspectors out and they take the decibel readings, that's something that they can identify. Whereas dust is a little more difficult to identify. One of the things that we've seen in the past or that I've participated in the past, is when there's a complaint like that, one of those that are hard to identify, or hard to cite for or to enforce, is I, myself, have tried to kind of mitigate it, or mediate whatever the issue is. I admit that sometimes that is, you know, we get favorable results from that. Sometimes, you know, it's hard to do. But I think in general once the community complains and then DOB comes out and we're inspecting, and then someone from my office or from the director's office calls, usually builders want to be in compliance and kind of do whatever they can to not be on our radar. So that's something that is a possibility here. I know that it was said that the builder or the developer has been kind of hostile towards neighbors. And so I don't,

you know, I haven't dealt with this particular developer. But that's something I'm certainly willing to do to try to intercede and see if that would make a difference. I know that there were a few questions that were forwarded to me. Let me see if I can take a look here. And I will say in advance that there was one that I was not able to get clarification about. And I think that had to do with-- I know it was said that, "You were unable to scan--" Well, let me just read here. "How does the general public know what took place to bring the site back into compliance? And how do you know what the specific failures are when stop work orders currently have the scan code? But when you scan the code, you can't get assets, because you're not the permit holder." I was unable to get complete clarification on that. I know that it is difficult. Scout does tell you that a stop work order has been issued, but it doesn't-- So, if you were to go to Scout now, you would not see that they were issued the stop work order because they had failed to obtain a wall check. It would say -- and I'm sorry, I can't tell you why this glitch exists -- but it would tell you that, you know, it would say it's kind of a generic failure to obtain the required permit. So, you wouldn't be able to tell exactly what the infraction was for. And of course, it doesn't tell you when or why the stop work order was listed. You know, at this point, I'm again, liaison for Ward 3, and this is kind of on my radar now. So, you know, anyone from the ANC or community members are free to reach out to me if there are specific questions about the site, about enforcement, about the permits there. And I'm always available and happy to answer any questions. As far as the scan is concerned, being able to scan the code, that was put in place, of course, for the permit holder. I don't know that there is any reason that the public would not be able to obtain that. I know that once a permit has been issued, it's public information. And I believe, as well, like any enforcement actions would also be public information. I just, I don't think that there's anything that would prevent the public from being able to obtain that information. So that's something I have to inquire about, and that's perhaps something that's a procedural thing that can perhaps be changed. I can't make any promises to that extent, but that's something that I certainly will investigate. I see Ms. Howie has a question?

Howie: Yeah. Just really quick. What is the turnaround time for if a constituent filed a complaint about a work site and an inspector showing up?

Williamson: Oh. For illegal construction, it's fairly quick. And particularly if you reach out to me. I mean, if you go through our normal channels, through the website, through the agency website, and you put in an IC complaint, within a few days, within a couple of days, an inspector will show up.

Howie: Okay.

Williamson: If you reach out to me, I can reach out directly to our illegal construction team. And generally I can get an inspector out there the next day, depending upon--

Howie: And you can drop your information in the chat?

Williamson: Absolutely. I'll do that. I'll do that before I leave.

Rodriguez: I was just going to ask for that information.

Howie: Yeah. I have a few more questions though, and I think you've kind of already answered. So it sounds like if a constituent files a complaint and the inspector shows up, and there's no work being done, or there's no-- Like, the inspector has to physically witness the complaint for a citation to be issued?

Williamson: Yes. And that, you know, to be honest, that's something that comes up a lot, right?

Howie: Because I was wondering, is there anything that we as neighbors can do to help document in the moment when this violation is happening that would be able to substitute an inspector showing up and witnessing it themselves?

Williamson: So, not at this time, for the purposes of issuing a citation, and of course, adjudication. And so that's the problem, is that the way it's written, or the way-- And I don't know. Right now, I'm not sure whether it's a statute issue or a policy issue, but I know that as it stands our inspectors have to witness whatever is taking place. And that's because five, six, seven months down the line when it's adjudicated through the Office of Administrative Hearings, that is the evidence, right? You know, that the inspector has witnessed it. I know the director has said, and there has been talks about trying to modify that, so that the Department of Buildings will accept video evidence or picture evidence from constituents. Because, of course, we can't always be there. And again, as I pointed out, and of course, as you guys know, oftentimes the infraction or whatever the violation is, is no longer occurring once our inspectors get there. So I think one of the things that residents can do is still take pictures, still video documentation. Because what that does is if you witness them illegally performing work after hours or before hours. Say, they're supposed to start at 7:00 a.m. and you see them starting at 6:00 a.m. Well, if you take a video or take a picture and send that to me, or send that in to DOB, then that says to us, "Okay, that's documented evidence for us to kind of go on to say, well, we need to-- This picture was taken at 6:30, so let's try to get an inspector there at 6:30 to witness this." But no, right now using that to issue a stop work order or to issue a citation is not something that we're able to do. One other thing that I'll add with regard to having an inspector come out. If you witness something occurring after hours, or when the agency is not open like, say, on Sunday, you can also request an inspection via 311. So, if you call 311, the citywide call center, then that call will be routed to the duty officer at DOB. And then an inspector will be dispatched, depending upon availability. But that's also an option.

Howie: For documentation purposes, does it have to be a DOB inspector? Like, let's just say we happen to see or flag down an MPD officer who's driving by at that moment. Can they submit a statement saying, "Yes, we witnessed this in real time"?

Williamson: That's a good question. I wasn't prepared for that one. That's certainly a good question. I would, you know, I can't say for certain, but I would think that a Metropolitan Police officer witnessing a violation of the DC municipal code would be enough to serve as documentation for--

Howie: I'm not advocating-- I just want to say, I'm not advocating calling 911, but I'm just saying if you happen to-- I'm just wondering, does it have to be an inspector? Or if there's like a duly-sworn, you know, like an MPD officer? Would that be sufficient?

Williamson: I'm not certain. I can't say definitively. But now that you bring that question up, it does seem like I do believe that if a police officer were to witness that same behavior or even, say, you know, a firefighter, right, because they are involved in public safety, that that would suffice. But I don't know for certain. So, I'll have to get back to you guys on that.

Lane: Well, I think they can, I mean, give citations for noise violations and things like that on the spot, MPD or DCFD.

Williamson: Yes, they can. Well, I know MPD does. I know that the municipal code, municipal regulations, MPD and DOB kind of share that responsibility. I'm not sure about the fire department, but I know MPD can for noise.

Lane: They have access to MPD, so I figured they--

Williamson: Yeah. I'm not sure about construction though. Well, noise related to construction, but I'm not sure about the other violations of the building code. But I'll certainly, I'm going to investigate that and see if I can get you guys a definitive answer on that one.

Rodriguez: Yeah, I've only seen the department do inspections for capacity, really. Or, you know, running water that they consider an emergency.

Williamson: Right. Right.

Lane: Ron Everett is one of the ones who's suffering from the problems with 3900 Edmunds. So, he has his hand raised. Ron, do you want to ask your questions?

Everett: Yeah. Can you guys hear me?

Lane: Yes.

Williamson: Yes.

Everett: Hey, so I've had a pretty negative experience with the developer of this project. So, I've spoken to the owners a couple times about the dust and noise. There's a lot of dust that's been produced by the project, you know, from drilling in the concrete. And that's been spewing all over around the building and neighboring apartments and things like that. And it's really just not good for the community because there are lots of kids, and it's pretty negative health effects you get from the silica that's produced from concrete. I'm not sure if you guys have much construction experience, but that's a big target in OSHA, at least. The owners themselves, when

I've spoken to them about this, they have told me to move to a different home. Things like that. So, it hasn't been great. They've also, like what Jason mentioned, they have been conducting activities after hours. I've taken videos of that. I've shared that with DOB. The reaction, like you said, Jason, is you can't do anything unless an inspector is actually there. I still don't understand why that's okay. If you have actual videos and photos of what's going on, why would you not be able to act on that? So, that's just my general reaction to this conversation and kind of what me and other neighbors have been experiencing. You know, these guys have done multiple projects in the neighborhood. I think upwards of maybe eight, nine or ten, I'm not sure, all over Glover Park. And it sounds like this one in particular, they were operating for quite a while without a permit, which is kind of unreal. So, I'm just wondering, what is going to happen from here on out? They have one other project down the street that they've been demolishing that building as well, and drilling into the concrete, again producing silica and spewing that into the air for everyone to breathe. You know, what is DOB going forward, since clearly it hasn't really been doing much up to this point?

Williamson: Specifically for your situation, now that you've brought this to my attention, I'm going to escalate it to our Deputy CBO, Jeff Reiss, to see what our options are here. Obviously, we're an enforcement agency, and part of our job obviously is to help make sure that people like yourself don't experience like kind of adverse effects of building. And that builders are not only complying with the building code, but for lack of a better word, being good neighbors. And so, one of the things that we want to do is, particularly if it's a builder and you said that they're building several projects there in the neighborhood, and in the city, period. We want to make sure that they are doing everything they can to make sure that their projects are not infringing on your rights, right? And so builders, contractors, developers have a right to build. And so when they go through the permitting process, and they receive a building permit, they follow the code, you know, that's their right. But their rights should not infringe upon yours. And so I'm going to escalate this to our senior building officials to see what can be done there. Like you said, if they're drilling and they are not taking steps to mitigate that, or to lessen the effects for neighbors, I know again that they're-- And I'm not a building code, I'm not an inspector. Fortunately or unfortunately, my job is across the agency, and so I'm a jack of all trades, but unfortunately, I'm not a master at that particular space. But I will-- I know that is something that is required. Now, I don't know to what extent or what particular things they have to do in order to mitigate the dust. And so I don't really want to, you know, I don't want to speak to that and say, "Oh, yeah, well, they have to do XYZ." But it's certainly something that I'll pursue tomorrow, when I get back to the office. And I'm sorry that that's happening to you, but I'm sure that there's something that we can do to try to lessen the effects there.

Lane: Jason, there's a question in the chat about whether or not this would be something that the DC Department of Energy and Environment would get involved with.

Williamson: Yes. But I believe someone said they referred it to DOEE and it was referred back to DOB. Which, unfortunately, is something that happens on the housing side that occurs where someone's-- You know, we referred someone to DOEE for problems around mold, and then they referred it back to DOB. I believe it's because as the Department of Buildings, we are in charge

of construction. So, if it's construction related, I think the reflex is to just send it to DOB. And we can enforce those things, and there are parts of the code that both DOB and DOEE have the power to enforce. Similarly on the housing side, just as an example, the Department of Health is the agency that's primarily overseas or in charge of vector control, rats and pests. But DOB can also cite for the presence of rats, or the presence of rat burrows and other pest-related concerns. So that's something that, yes, DOEE can enforce. But since we're here, and I'm hearing this firsthand, and I know that this is occurring, I think that rather than refer to another agency outside, I'm going to see what I can do within our agency to try to escalate it and see if we can find a solution. Or even not a solution, try to mitigate and get it under control, so to speak.

Rodriguez: Yeah, because I have a question also, because a lot of these with these buildings, they put their plans in. And some of these places that are going to produce, especially during demolition, excessive dust of an unknown origin or type, they have dust mitigation plans, and they also have a water spray system. So, if they're doing demolition, or concrete, or rock work, or drilling, it seems likely that they should have this mitigation. And if the inspector shows up and it's not in place, can they cite them for not having the proper equipment for the work they're doing? And we also have a few more questions.

Williamson: Yeah, that's a good question. Again, I'll defer to like, you know, for most things the inspector has to witness it. I don't know if they have to have a dust mitigation plan, and that may depend on the size--

Rodriguez: Right, because they probably look at the buildings around them and the cars around them, and they see within a certain radius that these cars are covered in this white dust coming from this construction site. That would seem pretty obvious that even if they're not witnessing it, you know, they can see how it's occurred in the community.

Williamson: Right. But then, again, when you go to adjudicate that at the Office of Administrative Hearings, and it's, "Okay, well that's kind of circumstantial. There was dust." Even though, as a lay person, you look, I look, and we're like, "That's obviously coming from there." And that's a good question, because they should be spraying down the site to minimize dust.

Rodriguez: I would think that would be included in their plan that they submit to the city for their construction.

Williamson: Right. I'm not certain. I'm not certain. I know that depending on the size of the project there are certain things that are required. For instance, depending on the size of your construction, you may have to have a green area ratio. You may also have to have a rodent mitigation plan. But that's for larger construction projects. For smaller, if you're a home builder, that may not be a requirement. But that's something I certainly have to look into. And for this particular case, I will ask that question directly. Like, "What are the requirements? What does the code say?" And outside of the code, if it's not requiring them to do this specific thing, what is it that we can do to bring them into compliance? Or to lessen the effects that it's having on the

neighbors.

Rodriguez: I appreciate escalating it also. It looks like-- Do we have any more questions from the commissioners before--

Lane: Yeah, we have Carol has a question.

Howie: Oh, hold on. You asked for commissioners first. Is there like a do not fly list for developers who, like, going forward they have had repeated complaints filed with DOB with previous projects? Like, is there like a blacklist of they're jeopardizing their ability to do business in the District in the future?

Williamson: I don't know about developers.

Howie: This developer sounds like he should not have anything else approved in the pipeline.

Williamson: I don't know about developers. I know that there are contractors that have been, I don't want to say banned, but there's been enforcement actions where they have not been allowed to perform work. Or some licensed tradespeople. And maybe, I don't know if this is something that Emir knows a little bit about, but maybe he can speak to that a little later. But developers, that's tricky. I know that there have been cases where the Office of the Attorney General may intercede with the most egregious cases. I know that when there are contractors that have repeated violations on the same project, there have been cases where people have a stop work order, and then they have another stop work order. And then they ignore it. And then we've issued multiple fines and citations, and then finally we seek an administrative warrant which allows us to lock up the property and not allow them to do work. So, I've seen that on a particular project although I'm not certain--

Howie: I guess I misspoke when I said developer, because developers can just change LLCs--

Williamson: Well, that's what I was going to say.

Howie: --willy-nilly. But I guess like they're not the ones who are actually building. So you are saying that there is like builders, if they're repeated, there's some sort of like, "We know about these guys. We can like drag our feet."

Williamson: Right. But, you know, I will say that that level of enforcement generally is a pretty high bar. In the case that I mentioned where we got an administrative warrant to lock the, you know, that was after repeated violations on one particular project. So, I don't know. I will admit I don't know what the criteria is for that. You know, do you have to have-- You know, how many citations do you have to have before something like that happens? I know that there are third-party inspection agencies or third-party inspection companies that have been banned. Because, you know, we've gone behind them and seen their inspection record and they've inspected and approved work that we found later to be substandard. And so that's happened in

the past. But again, I don't know the exact amount, if it's three strikes and you're out, or if it's ten. Or if it's something that kind of depends on the frequency and severity, and if it's a kind of a case-by-case basis.

Rodriguez: Just a quick question. If somebody would, say, instead of they didn't do the Department of Buildings complaint for the illegal construction or beyond the permitted work, and they go to OSHA and get OSHA involved, do you operate separately than OSHA? Or do you work together with OSHA?

Williamson: We operate separately from OSHA. There may be a-- I mean, because OSHA's federal. But there may be some--

Rodriguez: Is there possibly some recourse for some of the local constituents, you know, with OSHA, trying that route also?

Williamson: Yeah. I would, yes, I would suggest that constituents try to exercise every resource to get builders to comply. If what they're doing, if they are building in such a way that they're not showing any regard for the neighbors in the neighborhood, and in this case, people's health, I would certainly suggest that. Because I work for the Department of Buildings, but I'm also a DC resident, so I empathize with someone building next to my house and me asking them nicely, "Hey, could you do this?" and them telling me I should move. Like, that's crazy. And so, yeah, I would advise you, you know, go to OSHA. In fact, I believe that there are times when sometimes a two-pronged approach is necessary, or can produce a favorable outcome. Because ultimately I think builders don't want to be under the microscope of DOB and/or OSHA and/or councilmembers or whomever. And so in cases, I'll say that in some instances, both in the construction side and also on the housing side when our enforcement tools are not appropriate to get the resolution that I want, I've done that. I've worked with Councilman Frumin's office, as well as EOM, to try to bring to bear more resources and apply pressure that way. And I think, for the most part, builders in my experience, builders just, they want to be able to build. And they don't want to have the headache of DOB and stop work orders and us constantly having inspectors. To answer your question, I think that's actually a good idea. But hopefully, again, I'll do some digging and some investigation and see if we have the tools to try to put a stop to this. Or at least, again, lessen the effects.

Rodriguez: Looks like we have a couple of people in the forum. Grace, Ron, thanks for your patience. We'll be right with you. Carol, you're up.

Vilsack: Hi, thanks very much. I too, like the gentleman, the neighbor that just spoke, I too have had some horrible interactions with this developer. And they're still ongoing six years later. I guess, sir, my question is, why-- Like, I'm the person who called DOB about the giant delivery after the stop work order got posted at 3900, and then a couple days later, you know, a week later, a giant delivery truck comes with all of the pieces. And I reported it, and then I saw the truck later that afternoon. But, I mean, the man just gets on a little machine, and then gets down on the ground and takes all of those pallets off of this long flatbed. And then he just moved them

to the back in the alley. And I had photographs, because I'm like, "This is just incredible." And both of those buildings that this developer is working on had stop work orders. So, I don't know what was going on. But it just seemed to me that they shouldn't be there. So, I guess my question is, absent neighbors documenting this, can't you suggest -- and I know Jeff Reiss, because I've met with him on my own predicament up the street -- but can't there be a single inspector assigned to these projects so that it's not a pell-mell, whoever is available gets tagged and has to go out without any history?

Williamson: That's a good question, and that's one of the questions I think I received from the commissioner. So, the illegal construction inspectors are assigned primarily based upon geographic location. You know, we have "Inspector Vilsack," she primarily works in Ward 3 and in a particular area. You know, "Inspector Williamson," he works primarily in, I don't know, Ward 1 within a particular geographic location. They're not locked into those, but that's kind of the first thing. And then the assignment of inspectors are also based upon availability. Because, as you can imagine, the amount of construction that is occurring is not going to be even across the city. Right? So maybe at any given point one ward may have twice the amount of construction projects that are going on as another ward. And so they're not locked in that way. So, it's based upon geographic location, also availability. Also, expertise. We may have, you know, "Inspector Rodriguez" may have 20 years on the job, and we might want him to go and take a look, do the building final inspection for this large development project because his level of expertise is what this project calls for. And then there's also a training aspect. You may have a newer inspector and you want him to go to this smaller job. And this is not to say that he's not experienced enough to perform the job. This is just to say that it's really trying to move your resources in such a way that we're engaged in training. We're also sending the best people to the best possible places. And then there's availability and geographic location. So, like kind of the first thing is geographic location. They're assigned to different areas in the city. And then those other things come into play. But I see what you're getting at, Carol. Also, one thing that, and I spoke to the person who's the program manager of our inspections, and he said that another thing that we try to be mindful of is we don't necessarily want one inspector at a particular project like assigned, say, for 18 months or two years. Because that just kind of opens the door for, you know, perceptions of maybe impropriety. "Okay, well, we have Inspector Rodriguez and he's over here, and he walked the building, and maybe he didn't find this particular thing. But Inspector Howie, she's in Ward 5. And, well, she let them do--" I think in order for us to kind of maintain kind of an even, or maintain a perception that we're being fair to everyone, we kind of have to do it that way. But, I mean, you make a very good point. And to the original question, which I think was sent to me by email, one of the things, and I don't want to give myself more work, but that's kind of where I exist. If there's a problem property, or a construction, or development that's going on, and the neighborhood or neighbors are seeing a lot of issues, then you can certainly reach out to me. And I can work within the agency, across the agency, to not only give you guys whatever information I can give. You know, I'm a liaison. It's in the name.

Vilsack: Yeah, understood. Okay.

Williamson: So, yeah, I can certainly try to follow up for you.

Vilsack: Yeah. Okay. Thanks.

Rodriguez: All right. I have one more question here. Let's have Grace. The floor is yours.

Grace: Hi. I just wanted to speak to what he was saying about the 311. I have had experience with Department of Building before they were Department of Building. I can't remember their name.

Williamson: DCRA?

Lane: DCRA.

Grace: Yeah, DCRA. And I'm not sure if it's still like this where you can either call, like he just said, you can call 311. They will take in the complaint. But you can also go to their website. Now, at the time they were DCRA, I was able to upload the pictures of what happened. So, at the time, I was renting a house in Northeast in the Trinidad area. The house next to me sold, and they began construction. They began construction, and they damaged our foundation. They damaged the supporting wall, which was also the firewall. And it was just, it was like a nightmare. One thing after another, after another, after another. So it seemed at the time like much wasn't getting done. But because I was uploading and sending in the pictures and calling, what eventually ended up happening was the city, the city basically put out-- Like, they stopped them from building altogether, due to the information that I was giving. Now, when I would call, this is how much I was calling, I got to know the head of that department, that particular agency. Like, we were calling and talking, that's how much, like that's how much was going on. It was almost daily. And at that time he explained, he was like, "I appreciate you being patient and working." They only had 16 inspectors for all of DC. That's including downtown. Like all of DC, 16 inspectors. That is crazy, okay? That was then. That was in 2023. And that's the reason why I moved up here. But at that time that's what was going on. I don't know if that's what's still going on, but I just want to encourage you guys. Don't feel defeated. Like, I don't know if they're still running thin on 16 inspectors, but continue to take your pictures. Continue to document whenever you call 311 or go online and upload your pictures. I don't know if you can still do that. Or if you speak with this young man, Jason Williamson, however, continue to document. Don't feel defeated, because eventually the bricks will fall. It will fall, and they will have to pay. And that's what happened. And that place sat for almost 6 months before-- I think they ended up selling to someone else, and someone else had to come in and complete. I don't think the original developers stayed. Because it was just crazy. We had birds in our attic. The wall, the shared wall, which was the firewall, when they knocked the building down, it split. And birds and squirrels was coming. It was crazy. So, don't feel defeated. Continue to document. Take pictures. Even if you feel like you're pestering yourself because you've been calling them people so much, continue to do it. Stay vigilant and it'll work out.

Williamson: Just to that point, very quickly, I'll second that and say if you witness something, continue to file requests. Have us come out. It's kind of like, I know MPD says, "Hey, call 911."

And you think, "Oh yeah, well, I'm pressing." But it's been said that the more you call, then there's documentation that this is going on, right? If you call 911 and you're talking about the same things in the same area, then it lets MPD know that, "Hey, we need to devote more resources to this particular area." And it's really the same with DOB. If you are constantly calling about a particular property, then it alerts our construction team, our deputy chief buildings official, Jeff Reiss, to say, "Hey, this is something that we need to take a more focused look at." I would encourage people that either via the online form or calling 311, or you can always email me and let me know what's going on. The squeaky wheel gets the grease, and so it's not too much. So, don't feel that you can't do that.

Rodriguez: All right, perfect. Thank you very much for putting your contact information into the chat for everyone. Looks like they also have the 311 route, and then the online complaint line where they can go in for the construction complaints, or the unlicensed construction. So, thank you very much for your time, for being here and answering all these questions. Looks like we're about an hour into the meeting right now. And going to move on for updates from the commissioners. Any information that you'd like to share with the public? I'm sorry, Jason. Go ahead.

Williamson: Just very quickly, the gentleman, my apologies, I don't remember his name. But the gentleman who was the neighbor?

Lane: I will send you his contact information. His name is Ron Everett.

Williamson: Please do send his contact info, and I'll start working on that tomorrow.

Lane: Okay.

Everett: Yeah, I'm here. Thank you.

Williamson: Oh, you're welcome.

Rodriguez: Yeah. Thanks for keeping in touch with these people. You know, it's very important to them, and they live next to this, so they're dealing with it on a daily basis. They really appreciate your help.

Williamson: Absolutely.

Rodriguez: All right. Any updates or information from the commissioners you'd like to share?

Lane: No, we're not there yet.

Rodriguez: Oh, no?

Discussion and Resolution Regarding DPR Plans to Renovate the Guy Mason Recreation Center Playground [1:03:48]

Lane: We have to do sandboxes.

Rodriguez: Oh, how could I forget the resolution?

Lane: How could you forget the sandboxes? Really, Rob?

Rodriguez: I'm trying to rush this along, aren't I? Back it up.

Lane: Okay, this is a pretty quick item. The Guy Mason playground was renovated 12 years ago, and it's due for renovation again. But the DC government, the Department of Parks and Recreation and the Department of General Services, no longer maintain sandboxes and will no longer be installing sandboxes in new playgrounds. And basically DPR wants us to say, "Okay." And since they're not going to do it anyway, it's not hard to say, "Okay." But this has also been run by the Friends of Guy Mason, which is the partner organization with the Guy Mason Recreation Center. And Jeff Russell is here, who's the president of the Friends of Guy Mason. Do you have anything to add, Jeff?

Russell: Hi, Melissa. Thank you. The sandbox, well, the sand is the problem. At the Rec Center, the sand doesn't stay where it's supposed to be, in the sandbox, in spite of signage and everything. And what happens is it winds up in the water feature. In the summer when it's nice and warm out, the kids take it out and play with it in the water feature. Clogs up the pipes, water runs down the street. And that, of course, is a big cost to the city. It takes time to get these things fixed. And besides that, the sand is literally all over the place. It's in slides. There's a roller slide that is completely locked up.

Lane: Jeff? Oh. Okay. I can finish what he's talking about. There's physical problems and maintenance problems because of the sand issue, but there are also health concerns about sand. Actually, this isn't just in DC, but about sand in general, because of pathogens and respiratory issues, and other problems beside-- There can be parasites in the sand. And there can be physical hazards like rocks and stuff like that. And so there are many reasons why DGS, which is the Department of General Services, and DPR, the Department of Parks and Recreation, has decided not to continue maintaining or installing sandboxes. So, we have a resolution here, which will be posted after we pass it, which gives the history of the rec center. It goes through the reasons why they don't want to put sandboxes in, which are the ones we just discussed. It says that the Friends of Guy Mason and ANC 3B have been briefed, which we have. And so the conclusion is-- Jeff's computer crashed. He apologizes. So therefore be it resolved ANC 3B understands and concurs with the DPR and DGS decision to not maintain current or install future sandboxes in the District of Columbia recreation centers, and at the Guy Mason Recreation Center, specifically. So I ask for a motion for that, and a second.

Lavezzo: I'll make a motion.

Rodriguez: And second?

Howie: I'll second.

Lane: All in favor?

Commissioners: Aye.

Pollock: Can I just say one thing for a moment?

Lane: Yes, you may.

Pollock: Before the vote is taken. Of course, I will support this, but I will just say that as a youngster, I remember fondly the sandboxes when I was in elementary school. And I never heard, both in elementary school and elsewhere, that there were governmental problems with it. And I'm sad to see that we're not going to have it over here. But I understand from the government's point of view, particularly with the water presence nearby, that this could cause problems. But I just wanted, for the record, to indicate that I'm personally in favor of sandboxes. And I think that they added a tremendous amount of happiness to my young life, and I'm sad to see that we're not going to have them.

Lane: I don't think any of us should have lived through childhood if we went through the regulations we do now, but we did. And yes, I too had sandboxes growing up and I'm sorry to see this go, but I understand the reasons behind it. And if this moves the project forward-- And I think they also have really cool stuff in playgrounds that we never had. So, I think they'll make out okay. So, can we take the vote again? Those in favor?

Howie: I'll second.

Lane: Okay. Those in favor?

Commissioners: Aye.

Lane: Okay. The resolution passes. Back to you, Rob.

Rodriguez: All right. Now I can go back to my agenda and read what's next, which is the updates and information from our commissioners. Anything the commissioners would like to share with the community? All right.

69:41

Rodriguez: Moving on with the open forum now. We can open up the discussion to the forum

and any questions that any of the constituents who are joining the meeting may have for us.

Lane: We did have Nora Charles was here from Councilmember Frumin's office and left a huge chat about what they're doing.

Rodriguez: Yeah, a lot of information from it looks like Nora Charles was giving information.

Lane: Nora Charles was here, but she's not here anymore. So, if anyone wants an update from Councilmember Frumin's office, it is in the chat. It's also in his newsletter that he sends out on a monthly basis.

Rodriguez: Just so everybody knows, there's been a lot of communication behind the scenes with the commissioners that are currently present and the Mayor's office, the Department of Public Works, Parks Department. I mean, it's been very extensive behind the scenes on a daily basis and multiple times a day, trying to get the concerns of constituents concerning the snowcrete and this type of snow and inclement weather we've had over the past two-and-a-half weeks. So, there is a lot going on. Thank you to everybody that brought up issues, and to the commissioners that really were going day and night with getting this information out to get it mediated and remediated. Looks like we have a question from William Owen. Go ahead.

Open Forum [1:11:25]

Mayor's Office of Community Relations and Services (MOCRS) [1:11:25]

Lane: Owen Cox. It's MOCRS.

Cox: Good evening, everyone. My name is William Owen Cox. I'm the Ward 3 liaison for the Mayor's Office. Yes, just to reiterate, for both snow and for trash and recycling, it is helpful when you reach out to let us know about issues. And for trash and recycling in particular, submitting a 311 request and then including that service request number that you receive upon submission to us helps. We're compiling a list of those and bringing that up to our senior leadership, as well as DPW, so that we have basically a workable list to work down of areas that need servicing. So, don't give up hope. Your requests for aid are not going unheard. We hear them, and it's just a matter of letting those crews get through that work backlog. They're working seven days a week, and also recently DPW has brought on around about 80 usually seasonal workers to help with the case load. As you can imagine, there's quite a bit to get through, but they are working on it. It's just a matter of, you know, keep chipping away at it. And, you know, keeping the ball rolling. But thank you for reaching out and letting us know. We'll aim to keep up communication between the residents and ANCs. And if there are any changes or updates to DPW posture or anything like that, we'll communicate that as well. But that's it for me unless there are any

questions.

Rodriguez: Looks like we have a question from Bruce Morton.

Morton: No. Actually, I have an announcement to follow.

Lane: Okay.

Rodriguez: Any questions for our Ward 3 MOCRS? All right. Thank you very much for your help. I know you guys have been working long days over the past couple weeks, and hopefully this weather and the temperature is going to help you guys out now. So, thanks again. Bruce, the floor is yours.

Morton: Thanks. I just wanted to remind people that it's council hearing time, oversight hearings. And many of you-- Each of the departments in the city is being reviewed. And in particular, people may be interested in the February 27th hearing for the Public Service Committee. The Public Service Committee, particularly, it is a regulatory committee that sees a lot of different things. But one of the issues that may be of particular interest to people is the Public Service Committee's failure to regulate Washington Gas. Many of you probably know that your gas rates have gone up exorbitantly. That's due to failure of regulation. The Public Service Commission has also not really devoted any attention to the climate issues involved, although that's a mandate. And it's of particular importance because the two commissioners that are particularly friendly to Washington Gas have been reappointed, or nominated for reappointment, by the Mayor. And so it would be incumbent upon the council to, you know, they can't just approve these, or they can fail to move them along. Chairman Thompson and Commissioner Trabue have been particularly friendly to Washington Gas. And Washington Gas has proposed to replace all the gas pipelines under the city at a huge cost. And that will be decided in the next few months. So, anyway, I wanted to bring that to people's attention.

Rodriguez: Thank you. I know we did do a resolution for 3B. I believe it was against Washington Gas and their program that they renamed and were trying to get reinstated. We've been very supportive of not furthering their mission that they've essentially failed on. Any comments or questions from any of the community or any commissioners? All right. Any other comments from the community, or questions we can answer before we move on?

Lane: Owen has a question, or has his hand up.

Rodriguez: Go right ahead.

Cox: Yeah, sorry. I just wanted to add I have dates for the upcoming budget engagement forums. I'm putting that in the chat. There's a senior budget engagement forum next week on the 18th. That's a virtual option. There's a link in the chat. And then there's also two more on Saturday, February 21st at Barry Farm Recreation Center. And then one at Thursday, February 26th in Union Market. So, if folks want to join, the links are there in the chat.

Rodriguez: Perfect. Thank you for posting those.

Administrative Matters [1:17:07]

Rodriguez: And should we move on to the administrative portion of the meeting? Looks like first up is the approval of the January 2026 minutes. And get a motion to approve the minutes?

Howie: Second. Approve.

Rodriguez: And a second. Thank you. Can we take a vote to approve the minutes. All in favor, "aye"?

Howie: Aye.

Rodriguez: Okay. All that disapproved, "nay." And the motion is unanimously passed for the 2026 minutes for January. We have the monthly financial reports for December '25 and January '26. Kevin, do you want to take this one over?

Lavezzo: Certainly. December 2025, we opened with \$47,567.94. We closed with \$50,904.08, which was a change of \$3,336.14. And that difference was due to our allotment, our quarterly allotment from the District of Columbia. January, we started and ended the month with the same \$50,948. We didn't have any disbursements or receipts for that particular month. And then when everyone is ready, I can do the QFR.

Rodriguez: Yep. Go ahead.

Lavezzo: All right. So, this is going to be the ANC 3B fiscal year '26 quarter 1 quarterly financial report. We had \$5,882.07 of receipts, and that was all from allotments from the District of Columbia. Part of that was carryover from the previous quarter, where we were waiting on grant information, so our disbursement was held up. We only had one disbursement that quarter, which was \$2,500 for one grant, which was Miracle in the Alley. So, we started the month with, sorry, the quarter with \$47,552.01. We ended with \$50,904.08. And before I ask for a motion, are there any questions, concerns, comments? All right. Can I get a motion to approve?

Lane: Motion.

Lavezzo: And a second?

Howie: Second.

Lavezzo: Awesome. Thanks, everyone. Most exciting part of the meeting. Appreciate it.

Lane: We have to vote.

Howie: Oh, we've got to vote.

Lavezzo: Oh, well, that too. Yeah.

Rodriguez: I'm glad--

Howie: All in favor say, "aye."

Commissioners: Aye.

Lavezzo: Thanks. Appreciate it.

Lane: Everybody's getting tired here.

Rodriguez: All right. Looks like we're through the administrative portion. Thank you very much, everybody. Thank you to all of our people that joined, and presented, and answered questions on today's meeting. Our next meeting is going to be Thursday, March 12th at 7:00 p.m., again via the Zoom link. And the agenda will be up on the website, which is anc3b.org, at least a week prior to that meeting. And thank you very much, everybody. We'll conclude--

Lane: We need a motion to adjourn.

Rodriguez: We need a motion to adjourn. Okay. Motion, please.

Lane: Motion.

Rodriguez: Can I get a second?

Howie: Second.

Rodriguez: Vote to adjourn? Aye. And we're unanimous. All right. Meeting is adjourned. See you guys in March. Thank you very much.

Lavezzo: Thanks, everyone.

Lane: Thank you, everybody.